Original Research

Microbiological Profile of Ophthalmic Infections and the Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of the Bacterial Isolates at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Kanpur UP (India)

Anurag Verma¹, Suneet Kumar Yadav², R.Sujatha³, Deepak S Bind⁴

¹Tutor, Hind Medical College Hospital & Research Centre Lucknow, UP
 ²Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College, Kanpur UP
 ³Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College, Kanpur UP
 ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College, Kanpur UP

Corresponding Author

Dr. Suneet Kumar Yadav Associate Professor, Department Of Microbiology, Rama Medical College, Kanpur **Email:** <u>sunityadav60@gmail.com</u>

Received Date: 31 July, 2024

Accepted Date: 26 August, 2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction:- Infection of the eye leads to conjunctivitis, keratitis, endophthalmitis, dacryocystitis, blephritis, infections of eye lid, microbial scleritis, canaliculitis, preseptal cellulitis, orbital cellulitis, endophthalmitis and panophthalmitis etc., which are responsible for increased incidence of morbidity and blindness worldwide. Aim:- To study the microbiological profile of ophthalmic infections and the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates at a tertiary care hospital, Kanpur. Materials and methods:- A Total 50 samples were received from infections of the eye- including conjunctivitis, corneal ulcers; cataract and FB cornea infections. The samples were processed on Blood agar and MacConkey agar, incubated aerobically at 370C for 24 hours. Samples from ophthalmic infections cases were aerobically cultured and isolates from culture positives were identified by standard procedures. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done following CLSI guidelines 2020. Result:- Out of 50 cases 34(34%) were positive for bacterial growth. Predominant bacterial isolates S. aureus 22(64.70%) S.lugdunensis 7(20.55%) MRSA 3(8.82%) CoNS, 1(2.94%), and MR CoNS 1(2.94%), were isolated Gram Positive Bacteria. All isolates were susceptible to Amikacin, Gentamicin, Linezolid, Teicoplanin, Tetracycline, Tobramycin. Frequency of inducible clindamycin resistance among Staphylococcus aureus was 7(31.81%), Staphylococcus lugdunensis was 3(42.85), Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus was 1(33.33%), MR CoNS was 1(100%) and among CoNS it was 1(100%). Conclusion: Our findings are in general consistent with those from clinical studies of Ophthalmic infection. The most commonly isolated bacterial pathogens in this study were gram positive cocci. S. aureus and S. lugdunensis are the most common bacterial isolates found in the conjunctivitis infection. Amikacin, Gentamicin, Linezolid, Teicoplanin, Tetracycline Tobramycin, Levofloxacin and Vancomycin showed the lowest resistance rates to all bacterial isolates.

Keywords:- Conjunctivitis, Staphylococcus aureus, Antibiotics..cervical abnormalities among pregnant women. This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non ommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the idntical terms.

INTRODUCTION

The eye is a unique organ that is impermeable to almost all external organisms. Continuous tear flow, aided by the blink reflex, mechanically washes substances from the ocular surface and prevents the accumulation of microorganisms. In addition, lysozyme, lactoferrin, secretory immunoglobulin's, and defensins, which are present at high levels in tears, can specifically reduce bacterial colonisation of the ocular surface.[1,2] Infection of the eye leads to conjunctivitis, keratitis, endophthalmitis, dacryocystitis, blephritis, infections of eye lid, microbial scleritis, canaliculitis, preseptal cellulitis, orbital cellulitis, endophthalmitis and panophthalmitis etc., which are responsible for increased incidence of morbidity and blindness worldwide.[3,4,5] Conjunctivitis is the most common cause of "red eye" and corneal ulceration is a major cause of monoocular blindness in developing countries. [6] Infectious keratitis is no doubt a major and growing problem in the developing countries. It sometimes becomes sight-threatening and results in permanent visual loss due to delayed diagnosis and inappropriate treatment. Acute bacterial keratitis once developed is

rapidly progressive. Initially corneal ulcer is formed with surrounding corneal epithelium and stromal edema.[7] Pathogenic micro-organisms cause ocular disease due to virulence and host's reduced resistance because of the factors like personal hygiene, living conditions, socioeconomic status, decrease immune status, etc. The areas of the eye that are frequently infected are the conjunctiva, lid and cornea.[8,9] influenza Haemophilus and Streptococcus pneumoniae in children and Staphylococcus aureus in adults are the commonest bacteria causing ocular infection. Multidrug resistant bacteria isolates like Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) are emerging more important pathogen. But, generally gram positive pathogens are responsible for 60% to80% of acute infections. [10,11] Staphylococcus. aureus is the major ophthalmic bacterial pathogen isolated from various ocular infections.[18] Treatment of Staphylococcus aureus infections has become more complicated with emergence of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus(MRSA) strain in 1961 [19]. Despite the fact that MRSA is one of the major topics in clinical microbiological research, very little is known about the prevalence and epidemiology of eye infections due to Methicillin-sensitive S.aureus (MSSA) or Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA).

Bacteria are major causative agents that frequently cause infections in eye and possible loss of vision. Hence there is a need for an immediate treatment for the serious bacterial eye infection that threatens the cornea of eye.[10] For specific antibacterial treatment, isolation and identification of bacterial pathogens along with antibiotic susceptibility spectrum is essential.[12] As there is a worldwide problem regarding the emergence of bacterial resistance towards topical antimicrobial agents which are influenced by characteristics of pathogens, antibiotic-prescribing practices including the use of systemic antibiotics and general healthcare guidelines.[13,14] Fourth-generation Fluoroquinolones such as Gatifloxacin and Moxifloxacin have been proven to be efficacious in the treatment of ocular infections caused by these pathogens. [15] The fact that in recent times, ocular infections caused by microbial organisms are showing resistance to such fourth generation Fluoroquinolones makes it imperative to identify and report current patterns of emerging resistance. Ocular Infections caused by the bacteria is most common which is followed by fungal and then viral infections. The bacterial etiology and their susceptibility as well as resistant patterns may vary with geographical location according to the local population. [16-21]

This study was undertaken to study the "Microbiological profile of ophthalmic infections and the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates at a tertiary care hospital, Kanpur (India)"

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study setting: This study was conducted in Department of Microbiology and Ophthalmology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Center Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Study design: Prospective study.

Type of study: Observational study.

Study period: This study was conducted from January 2019 to December 2019.

Size of sample: 50 samples from Ophthalmic department was collected.

Inclusion criteria: All patients (OPD, IPD) with clinical finding of any ocular infection in eye, presenting at Rama Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre hospital during the study period, were included.

Exclusion criteria: Patients who have take medication (Antibiotics and eye drop antibiotic) in past one week was excluded from the study.

Ethical consideration: Ethical clearance was taken from the institutional ethical committee.

Sample collection: Samples were taken on swab stick from patients presenting with ocular infections like conjunctivitis including dacrocystis, corneal ulcers, endophthalmitis and post-traumatic infections.

Sample for culture:- All swab samples were inoculated onto Blood agar base to which 10% sheep blood is incorporated, chocolate agar/heated blood agar and MacConkey agar The inoculated cultures were incubated at 37°C according to standard procedure.

Gram stain [22]: The suspected colonies were stained using gram stain method and their shape, colour and arrangement were observed under light microscope.

Biochemical tests [22]: Catalase test, Coagulase test, Urease test,

Antimicrobial susceptibility test:- AST was performed by Kirby –Bauer disc diffusion method.[23]

D- Zone Test

D Test is a simple disc diffusion test giving high throughput result. It is used to study the macrolide lincosamidestreptogramin resistance (MLSB), both constitutive and inducible as well as macrolide streptogramin resistance (MSB) in Staphylococcus aureus.

MIC test: MIC was detected by E test as per the CLSI guideline (CLSI) 2016. [23]

RESULT

Table No. 1: Distribution of Male & Female in the Age group from samples with Growth

Age	Male (N=15)	Female (N=19)
11-20	1	2
21-30	2	2
31-40	2	4
41-50	6	7
51-60	4	4
TOTAL	15	19

No. of Culture Positive cases	No. of Culture Negative cases	Total
34 (68%)	16 (32%)	50 (100%)

Out of 50 samples, Culture positive cases 68% and Culture negative cases 32%.

Table No. 3: Sample wise distribution of 50 samples

Eye disease	Growth	No growth
Conjuctivitis	13	2
Corneal Ulcer	11	2
Cataract	6	5
FB Cornea	4	7
Total No. of sample =50	34	16

Table No. 4: Microbiological profile of Ophthalmic infections from clinical samples

Organism	Number (N=34)	Percentage %
S. aureus	22	64.70%
S. lugdunensis	7	20.58%
MRSA	3	8.82%
CoNS	1	2.94%
MRCoNS	1	2.94%
Gram negative bacteria	0	0%
Fungal	0	0%
Parasites	0	0%

Table No. 5: Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of all isolated organism

Antibiotics	S.aureus	S. lugdunensis	MRSA	CoNS (N=1)	MRCoNS
	(N=22)	(N=7)	(N=3)		(N=1)
Amikacin	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Cefoxitin	(36.36%)	4 (57.14%)	0	1 (100%)	0
Erythromycin	15 (68.18%)	4 (57.14%)	2 (66.66%)	0	0
Clindamycin	15 (68.18%)	4 (57.14%)	2 (66.66%)	0	0
Gentamicin	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	2 (66.66%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Linezolid	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Oxacillin	8 (36.36%)	2 (28.57%)	0	0	0
Penicillin	0	1 (14.28%)	0	0	0
Tetracycline	22 (100%)	5 (71.42%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Teicoplanin	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	0
Vancomycin (E-	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	2 (66.66%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
test for MIC)					
Chloramphenical	15 (68.18%)	3 (42.85%)	1 (33.33%)	0	0
Ciprofloxacin	10 (45.45%)	2 (28.57%)	1 (33.33%)	0	0
Levofloxacin	22 (100%)	4 (57.14%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Ofloxacin	11 (50%)	3 (42.85%)	2 (66.66%)	0	0
Tobramycin	22 (100%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)

Organism	Percentage (N= 34)
S. aureus	7 (20.58%)
S. lugdunensis	3 (8.82%)
MRSA	1 (2.94%)
Total	11 (32.35%)

Table No. 6: D- Zone Test (Clindamycin resistance) of the Staphylococcal isolates

DISCUSSION

Total of 50 ophthalmic infection (including conjuctivitis, corneal ulcer, cataract, FB cornea samples were collected from the patients. The results were compared with other studies and discussed as follows:

Table No.1: Comparison of age wise distribution with other studies

Serial No.	Study	Year	Result
1.	M.Jeyaet.al. ^[24]	2013	55(44%) cases were in the age group of>60
2.	TeweldeTesfaye et al. ^[25]	2013	72(36.4) cases were in the age group of up to 18-39
3.	ReenAnieJose et. al. ^[26]	2017	45(33.33%) cases were in the age group of 40-59
4.	Presentet.al.	2019	25 (50%) cases were in the age group of 41-50

In the present study an increased incidence of infective ophthalmic case was seen in the age group of 41-50 years, which is similar to study by M. Jeya et.al.

TableNo.2: Comparison of sex wise distribution with other studies

Serial No.	Study	Years	Male	Female	Total
1.	M.Jeyaet. al ^{.[24]}	2013	70(56%)	55(44%)	125
2.	Reena Anie Joseet.al. ^[26]	2017	89(66%)	46(34%)	135
3.	S.Rajeshet.al ^{.[27]}	2017	44(44%)	56(56%)	110
4.	PresentStudy	2019	23(46%)	27(54%)	50

Females 27 (54%) were more affected than Males 23 (46%) in the present study. the findings was in accordance with S. Rajesh et. al.

Serial No.	Study	Years	Culture	Culture	Fungal	Culture Negative
			Positive GPC	Positive		
				GNB		
1.	Mulla	2012	21/38	12/38	0	92/130
	Summaiya et. Al ^[28]		(55.26%)	(31.57%)		(70.76%)
2.	Tewelde	2013	52%	48%	0	148/198
	Tesfayeet. Al[25]					(74.7%)
3.	Reena AnieJose et al. ^[26]	2017	16/48 (33.33%)	9/48	23/48	87/135 (64.44%)
				(18.75%)	(47.91%)	
4.	S.Rajesh et. al. ^[26]	2017	36/54 (66.66%)	15/54	3/54	56/110 (50.90%)
	-			(27.77%)	(5.5%)	
5.	Present Study	2019	34/50 (68%)	-	-	16/50 (32%)

TableNo.3 Comparison of culture positive and negative cases with other studies:-

Most of the ophthalmic bacterial infections are due to Gram positive bacteria than Gram negative bacteria. The present study mainly focused on Gram positive cocci causing eye infections. Several other studies in S. Rajesh et. Al and other parts of world have shown similar results inferring Gram positive cocci as a primary cause of Ophthalmic infections.

	Tublet (0.1. Of guilibilis isolated in uniter the studies.				
Serial No.	Study	Years	Result		
1.	Tewelde Tesfaye et al. ^[25]	2013	S.aureus followed by Pseudomona aeruginosa		
2.	Reena Anie Jose et al. ^[26]	2017	S.epidermidis followed by Streptococcus pneumonia And		
			Pseudomonasaeuruginosa		
3.	S.Rajeshet.al.[27]	2017	S.aureus followed by CoNS, MRSA and MRCoNS		
			Pseudomonaand Klebsiellaspp		
4.	Present Study	2018	S.aureus followed by S.lugdunensis, CoNS, MRSA and		
			MRCoNS		

TableNo.4: Organisms isolated in different studies:-

In the present study most of the Ophthalmic bacterial infections spp. are due to *S. aureus* followed by *S. lugdunensis, CoNS*, *MRSA* and *MRCoNS* which is similar to S. Rajesh et. al

S.No.	Study	Bacteria	Year	Antibiotic	Percentage
1.	M,Jeyaet. et al. ^[23]	S.aureus	2013	Amikacin	100%
				Vancomycin	100%
2.	S.Rajeshet et al. ^[25]	S.aureus	2017	Amikacin	75%
				Vancomycin	100%
3.	Present study	S.aureus	2019	Amikacin	100%
				Vancomyci	100%

TableNo.5: Percentage of Gram positive bacterial isolates susceptibility:-

In case of *Staphylococcus aureus*, Amikacin, Vancomycin, were 100% sensitivity. the findings accordance with S. Rajesh et. al

CONCLUSION

Our findings are in general consistent with those from clinical studies of ophthalmic infection. S. aureus and S. lugdunensis are the most common bacterial isolates found in the conjunctivitis infection. Amikacin, Gentamycin, Linezolid, Teicoplanin, Tetracycline, Tobramycin, Levofloxacin and Vancomycin showed the lowest resistance rates to all bacterial isolates. The predominant resistant isolates were MRSA and MRCoNS. High antibiotic resistance to commonly prescribed antibiotics was observed. Methicillin resistance has been observed in both of the Gram positive isolates, *Staphylococcus aureus & Coagulase* negative Staphylococci for which vancomycin, Amikacin showed 100%. Therefore, to prevent the increasing rate of antimicrobial resistance the practice of starting empirical therapy to be avoided improper selection of antibiotics, inadequate dosing and poor compliance to therapy may play an important a role in increasing resistance. Changes in bacterial resistance patterns have been a major problem in the effective management of conjunctivitis infections, early access to diagnosis and appropriate treatment and better patient health education can prevent the ocular morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES

- 1. Haynes RJ, Tighe PJ, Dua HS. Antimicrobial defensin peptides of the human ocularsurface. Br J Ophthalmol 1999; 83:737-41.
- 2. McClellan KA. Mucosaldefense of the outer eye. Surv Ophthalmol 1997; 42:233-46.
- 3. Sharma S. Ocular Microbiology. 1 st ed. Madurai: Aravind Eye Hospital Publication; 1988.
- 4. Chirambo MC, Tielsch JM, West KP, Katz J. Blindness and visual impairment in Southern Malawi. *Bull WHO* 1986; 64:567-72.
- Juarez-Verdayes MA, Reyes-Lopez MA, Cancino-Diaz ME, et al. Isolation, vancomycin resistance and biofilm production of Staphylococcus epidermidis from patients with conjunctivitis, corneal ulcers, and endophthalmitis. *Rev Latinoam Microbiol* 2006;48(3-4):238-46.
- Sthapit PR,TuladharNR,MarasiniS,KhojuU,ThapaG.Bacterial Conjunctivitis and Use of Antibiotics in Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2011;9:69–72.
- Ramesh S, Ramakrishnan R, Bharathi MJ, Amuthan M, Viswanathan S. Prevalence of bacterial pathogens causing ocular infections in South India. Indian J

Pathol Microbiol. 2010;53(2):281-286.

- Ramesh S, Ramakrishnan R, Bharathi MJ, Amuthan M, Viswanathan S. "Prevalence of bacterial pathogens causing. ocular infections in South India. Indian J Pathol Microbiol, Jun. 2010; 53(2):281-286.
- 9. Ubani, U A. "Bacteriology of external Ocular Infections in Aba, South Eastern Nigeria," Clin Exp Optom, Nov. 2009; 92(6).482-9.
- Bremond-Gignac D, Chiambaretta F, Milazzo S. A European Perspective on Topical Ophthalmic Antibiotics: Current and Evolving Options. Ophthalmology and Eye Diseases. 2011; 3: 29-43.
- 11. Bartlett J D, Melton R, Karpecki P M, Thomas R K. Epidemiology and etiology of conjunctivitis. Review of Optometry. 2011; 1-15.
- Chung, J. L., Seo, K.Y., Yong, D.E., Mah, F.S., Kim, T., Kim, E.K, et al. "Antibiotic Susceptibility of Conjunctival Bacterial Isolates I from Refractive Surgery Patients," Ophthalmology, Jun. 2009; 116(6).1067-74.
- Lee, K., Lee, H., Kim, M, "Two Cases of Corneal Ulcer due to Methicillin- Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in High Risk Groups," Korean J Ophthalmol, Aug. 2010; 24(4).240-4.
- 14. Joseph, S. Bertino, J R, "Impact of Antibiotic Resistance in the Management of Ocular Infections: The Role ofCurrent and Future Antibiotics," Clin Ophthalmol, Sep 2009; 3.507-21.
- Wang N., et al. "Bacterial Spectrumand Antibiotic Resistance Patterns ofOcular Infection: Differences between External and Intraocular Diseases". Journal of Ophthalmology (2015): 813979.
- Carmichael TR, Wolpert M, Koornhof HJ. Corneal ulceration at an urba African hospital. Br J Ophthalmol 1985;69:920-6.
- 17. Liesegang TJ, Forster RK. Spectrumofmicrobialkeratitis inSouthFlorida. Am J Ophthalmol 1980;90:38-47.
- Kowalski RP, Karenchak LM, Romanowski EG. Infectious disease: changing antibiotic susceptibility. Ophthalmol Clin N Am 2003;16:1-9.
- Chalita MR, Hofling-Lima AL, Paraanhos A Jr, Schor P, Belfort R Jr. Shifting trends in in-vitro antibiotic susceptibilities for commonocular isolates during a period of 15 years. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;137:43-51.
- Ooishi M, Miyao M. Antibiotic sensivity of recent clinical isolates from patients with ocular infections. Ophthalmologica 1997;211:15-24.
- Benz MS, Scott IU, Flynn HW, Unonius N, Miller D. Endophthalmitis isolates and antibiotic sensitivities: A6-year reviewofculture-provencases. AmJ Ophthalmol 2004;137:38-42.
- 22. Mackie &Maccartney,Practical Medical Microbiology,Churchill Living stone,2006;14th

edition:135-141,152,255,796-798.

- 23. CLSI-Clinicaland LaboratoryStandard Institute 2016 performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing . Twenty-second informational supplement. Wayne,PA,USA CLSI:2016;M100-S22.
- M.Jeya et. al. Study of Bacterial and Fungal Profile of External Ocular Infections in aTertiary CareHospital, NationalJournalofLaboratoryMedicine. 2013; 23: 6-10.
- 25. Tewelde Tesfaye et, al .Bacterial Profile and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of External Ocular Infections in Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia American Journal of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, 2013; 1: 13-20.
- 26. Reena Anie Jose et. al. Epidemiologicaland MicrobiologicalProfile ofInfective Keratitis at aTertiary CareCentre in CentralZone ofKerala, India National Journalof Laboratory Medicine. 2017;6: MO06-MO11.
- S. Rajeshet. al. Microbiological Profile of External Ocular Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* 2017;6: 4343-4352.
- Mulla Summaiyaet.al. Ocular infections: rational approachto Antibiotic therapy national journal of medical research 2012;2: ISSN 2249 4995.