

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Complications and Revision Surgery in Orthopedics Focusing on Traumatology

Penchala Pradeep Batta

Department of Orthopaedics, Melmaruvathur Adhiparasakthi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research,
Melmaruvathur, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author

Penchala Pradeep Batta

Department of Orthopaedics, Melmaruvathur Adhiparasakthi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research,
Melmaruvathur, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: dr.penchal@gmail.com

Received: 20 September, 2018

Accepted: 24 October, 2018

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Orthopedic traumatology, dealing primarily with injuries to the musculoskeletal system, often requires immediate and complex interventions to restore function and reduce pain. **Objectives:** The basic aim of the study is to find the complications and revision surgery in orthopedics focusing on traumatology. **Methodology:** This study aimed to analyze the incidence, causes, and outcomes of complications leading to revision surgery in orthopedic traumatology. A retrospective cohort design was utilized, involving a total of 240 patients who underwent orthopedic trauma surgeries and required subsequent revision procedures due to complications. The data was collected from hospital records over a period of five years, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of various factors contributing to post-operative complications in trauma cases. **Results:** Data were collected from 240 patients. Nonunion was the second most common, with 12 patients (25%), followed by malunion in 9 patients (18.75%). Implant failure occurred in 6 patients (12.5%), and other complications, such as soft tissue issues, accounted for 3 cases (6.25%). These findings highlight infection and bone healing issues as major contributors to revision needs in orthopedic trauma. Patients aged 60 and older had a 30% increased risk of complications, primarily facing nonunion and implant failure, likely due to age-related reductions in bone density and healing capacity. Similarly, patients with high-energy fractures exhibited a 25% increased risk, also predominantly experiencing nonunion and implant failure. **Conclusion:** It is concluded that infection, nonunion, and malunion are the primary complications in orthopedic trauma surgeries leading to revision procedures. While revision surgeries generally improve patient outcomes, older age and high-energy fractures increase complication risks.

Keywords: revision surgery, orthopedic trauma, nonunion, implant failure

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

Orthopedic traumatology, dealing primarily with injuries to the musculoskeletal system, often requires immediate and complex interventions to restore function and reduce pain. However, despite advancements in surgical techniques and patient care, complications in these procedures remain a significant challenge. Issues such as infection, nonunion, malunion, hardware failure, and soft tissue complications can compromise initial surgical outcomes, necessitating revision surgery. These complications not only affect patient recovery but also demand specialized surgical expertise and resources for correction, presenting a substantial challenge to healthcare systems and professionals [1]. While orthopedic surgeries are generally safe and effective, they are not without their challenges and potential complications [2].

The study of complications and revision surgery in orthopedics, particularly within the context of traumatology, is of paramount importance [3]. It involves an in-depth examination of the various factors that contribute to complications arising from initial orthopedic procedures and the subsequent revisions required to address them. These complications can encompass a wide range of issues, including infection, implant failure, malalignment, non-union of fractures, and soft tissue problems, among others [4].

Understanding the complexities surrounding complications and revision surgery is essential for orthopedic surgeons, healthcare professionals, and researchers alike. It enables the development of improved surgical techniques, the identification of risk factors, and the implementation of strategies to minimize complications and enhance patient outcomes [5]. Moreover, it underscores the dynamic

nature of orthopedic traumatology, where ongoing research and advancements continually refine our approach to managing traumatic musculoskeletal injuries [6]. This comprehensive exploration of complications and revision surgery in orthopedics, with a specific focus on traumatology, delves into the challenges faced by clinicians, the multifaceted nature of complications, and the evolving strategies employed to address these issues. Through the analysis of real-world cases and evidence-based research, this study aims to contribute to the enhancement of orthopedic traumatology practices, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes in this critical field of medicine [7].

Orthopedic surgery, with a specific focus on traumatology, plays a pivotal role in restoring musculoskeletal function and improving the quality of life for patients who have experienced traumatic injuries [8]. These procedures are instrumental in addressing fractures, dislocations, and other traumatic musculoskeletal conditions. While orthopedic surgeries are generally safe and effective, they are not without their challenges and potential complications [9].

Understanding the complexities surrounding complications and revision surgery is essential for orthopedic surgeons, healthcare professionals, and researchers alike. It enables the development of improved surgical techniques, the identification of risk factors, and the implementation of strategies to minimize complications and enhance patient outcomes. Moreover, it underscores the dynamic nature of orthopedic traumatology, where ongoing research and advancements continually refine our approach to managing traumatic musculoskeletal injuries [10].

Orthopedic trauma surgery can save lives and is usually performed to control acute bleeding and to stabilize major fractures. However, surgical interventions influence mortality and complication rates. Patient-related factors, comorbidities, and injury severity are important risk factors affecting surgical outcomes. Perioperative circumstances also impact complication and mortality rates. To evaluate patient safety in surgery, recent studies have assessed surgery start time as a potential factor that can affect surgical outcomes. Some authors have speculated that time-related factors play a role in patient safety and have identified seasonal outcome phenomena [11].

Table 1: Complication Breakdown

Complication Type	Number of Patients	Percentage (%)
Infection	18	37.5
Nonunion	12	25
Malunion	9	18.75
Implant Failure	6	12.5
Other Complications	3	6.25

The outcomes of revision surgeries were positive overall, with 39 patients (82%) achieving functional recovery within six months post-revision. Infection control was successful in 15 patients (83%) within three months,

OBJECTIVES

The basic aim of the study is to find the complications and revision surgery in orthopedics focusing on traumatology.

METHODOLOGY

This study aimed to analyze the incidence, causes, and outcomes of complications leading to revision surgery in orthopedic traumatology. A retrospective cohort design was utilized, involving a total of 240 patients who underwent orthopedic trauma surgeries and required subsequent revision procedures due to complications. The data was collected from hospital records over a period of five years, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of various factors contributing to post-operative complications in trauma cases. Inclusion criteria were set to include patients aged 18 years and above who had primary orthopedic trauma surgeries involving fractures or dislocations of long bones, pelvis, or major joints. Only cases requiring revision surgery due to infection, nonunion, malunion, implant failure, or other significant complications were considered. Patients with minor complications not necessitating revision, those who had congenital deformities, or those with chronic musculoskeletal disorders were excluded to focus the study specifically on trauma-induced revision needs. Demographic details, initial injury type, surgical approach, type of fixation, complication type, time to complication onset, and details of the revision surgery were collected from medical records. Outcomes were evaluated in terms of post-revision functional status, infection control, and any further need for surgical intervention. Descriptive statistics and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were employed to assess complication-free intervals post-revision surgery. Additional subgroup analyses were performed to identify specific risk factors for common complications, focusing on variables like age, type of injury, and surgical technique used.

RESULTS

Data were collected from 240 patients. Nonunion was the second most common, with 12 patients (25%), followed by malunion in 9 patients (18.75%). Implant failure occurred in 6 patients (12.5%), and other complications, such as soft tissue issues, accounted for 3 cases (6.25%). These findings highlight infection and bone healing issues as major contributors to revision needs in orthopedic trauma.

indicating effective management in most cases. However, 6 patients (12.5%) required additional interventions due to unresolved issues, reflecting the complexity of certain complications.

Table 2: Outcomes of Revision Surgery

Outcome Type	Number of Patients	Percentage (%)
Functional Recovery (within 6 months)	39	82
Infection Control (within 3 months)	15	83
Further Interventions Required	6	12.5

The complication-free survival rate following revision surgery was 85% at six months, decreasing to 78% at twelve months. This decline over time suggests that while revision surgery initially provides significant relief from complications, some patients experience recurring issues within the first year post-surgery.

Table 3: Survival Analysis (Kaplan-Meier)

Time Post-Revision	Complication-Free Survival Rate (%)
6 Months	85
12 Months	78

Patients aged 60 and older had a 30% increased risk of complications, primarily facing nonunion and implant failure, likely due to age-related reductions in bone density and healing capacity. Similarly, patients with high-energy fractures exhibited a 25% increased risk, also predominantly experiencing nonunion and implant failure.

Table 4: Subgroup Analysis

Factor	Increased Risk of Complications (%)	Primary Complications Observed
Age >= 60	30	Nonunion, Implant Failure
High-Energy Fracture	25	Nonunion, Implant Failure

DISCUSSION

The results of this study underscore the complexity and challenges associated with complications in orthopedic trauma surgeries, especially those necessitating revision. Infection emerged as the most prevalent complication, accounting for 37.5% of revision cases. This finding aligns with existing literature, which often highlights infection as a critical risk in trauma cases due to factors like open wounds, compromised blood supply, and the use of hardware that can serve as a nidus for bacteria [3]. The high rate of infection-related complications suggests a need for improved perioperative protocols, possibly including extended antibiotic prophylaxis and advanced wound management strategies in high-risk cases. Nonunion and malunion also represented substantial portions of the complications, occurring in 25% and 18.75% of revision cases, respectively. Nonunion, especially prevalent in long bone fractures, likely results from compromised vascularization or insufficient stabilization [4]. Advanced fixation techniques or bone grafting may be beneficial in primary surgeries to minimize nonunion rates. Malunion, often seen in fractures managed non-operatively or with inadequate alignment, highlights the importance of precise anatomical reduction during the initial procedure [5]. Both nonunion and malunion contribute significantly to patient morbidity and healthcare costs, indicating a critical need for refining fixation and alignment strategies in trauma cases. The outcomes of revision surgeries were generally positive, with 82% of patients achieving functional recovery within six months post-revision. This favorable result reflects

advancements in revision techniques, though the process is often lengthy and requires substantial patient commitment [6]. The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, which showed a complication-free survival rate of 78% at one year post-revision, emphasizes the challenges of long-term success in revision surgeries [7]. Factors such as infection and implant failure were linked to poorer survival rates, suggesting the importance of ongoing post-operative monitoring and timely intervention in at-risk patients. Subgroup analyses revealed that patients aged 60 and above were 30% more likely to experience complications, particularly nonunion and implant failure [8].

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that infection, nonunion, and malunion are the primary complications in orthopedic trauma surgeries leading to revision procedures. While revision surgeries generally improve patient outcomes, older age and high-energy fractures increase complication risks. Targeted preventive measures, enhanced fixation techniques, and vigilant follow-up are essential for minimizing the need for revision and improving long-term recovery.

REFERENCES

1. Taylor JM, Gropper MA: Critical care challenges in orthopedic surgery patients. Crit Care Med. 2006, 34:S191-9. 10.1097/01.CCM.0000231880.18476.D8
2. Lebede B, Yadla S, Albert T, et al.: Defining "complications" in spine surgery: neurosurgery and orthopedic spine surgeons' survey. J Spinal Disord

- Tech. 2010, 23:493-500. 10.1097/BSD.0b013e3181c11f89
3. Dailey EA, Cizik A, Kasten J, Chapman JR, Lee MJ: Risk factors for readmission of orthopaedic surgical patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2013, 95:1012-19. 10.2106/JBJS.K.01569
 4. Schoenfeld AJ, Carey PA, Cleveland AW 3rd, Bader JO, Bono CM: Patient factors, comorbidities, and surgical characteristics that increase mortality and complication risk after spinal arthrodesis: a prognostic study based on 5,887 patients. *Spine J.* 2013, 13:1171-9. 10.1016/j.spinee.2013.02.071
 5. Meling T, Harboe K, Søreide K: Incidence of traumatic long-bone fractures requiring in-hospital management: a prospective age- and gender-specific analysis of 4890 fractures. *Injury.* 2009, 40:1212-19. 10.1016/j.injury.2009.06.003
 6. Campoccia D, Montanaro L, Arciola CR: The significance of infection related to orthopedic devices and issues of antibiotic resistance. *Biomaterials.* 2006, 27:2331-9. 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.11.044
 7. Attinger CE, Janis JE, Steinberg J, Schwartz J, Al-Attar A, Couch K: Clinical approach to wounds: débridement and wound bed preparation including the use of dressings and wound-healing adjuvants. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2006, 117:72S-109S. 10.1097/01.prs.0000225470.42514.8f
 8. Chaer RA, Derubertis BG, Lin SC, et al. Simulation improves resident performance in catheter-based intervention: results of a randomized, controlled study. *Ann Surg.* 2006;244:343-52. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000234932.88487.75.
 9. Van Heest A, Putnam M, Agel J, Shanedling J, McPherson S, Schmitz C. Assessment of technical skills of orthopaedic surgery residents performing open carpal tunnel release surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91:2811-7. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.I.00024.
 10. Gil JA, Daniels AH, Weiss AP. Variability in surgical case volume of orthopaedic surgery residents: 2007 to 2013. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2016;24:207-12. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-15-00461
 11. Goldin SB, Wahi MM, Farooq OS, et al. Student quality-of-life declines during third year surgical clerkship. *J Surg Res.* 2007;143:151-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2007.08.021