International Journal of Life Sciences, Biotechnology and Pharma Research Vol. 13, No. 11, November 2024 Online ISSN: 2250-3137
Print ISSN: 2977-0122

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Efficacy of Coblation Technology in Minimizing Pain and Morbidity in Pediatric Adenoidectomy

[1]Dr. Sagar Chandra, [2]Dr. Pulkit

[1,2]Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, Punjab Institute of Medical Sciences, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

Corresponding Author
Dr. Pulkit
Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, Punjab Institute of Medical Sciences, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

Received Date: 16 September, 2024 Accepted Date: 20 October, 2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the efficacy of coblation technology in minimizing postoperative pain and morbidity in pediatric patients undergoing adenoidectomy. **Material and Methods**: This prospective observational study was conducted over 12 months in the Department of Otolaryngology at a tertiary care hospital. Sixty pediatric patients aged 3 to 12 years, diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy and experiencing symptoms like nasal obstruction and sleep apnea, were enrolled. Exclusion criteria included bleeding disorders and active infections. All patients underwent coblation adenoidectomy under general anesthesia, performed by the same surgical team to ensure uniformity. Postoperative pain was assessed using Visual Analog and Faces Pain Scales at 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours. Time to resume a normal diet and daily activities was documented. Secondary outcomes included the incidence of complications, frequency of upper respiratory infections within one year, and changes in sleep quality assessed pre- and postoperatively. **Results:** The study population comprised 41.67% aged 6-8 years, and 58.33% were male. Postoperative pain scores significantly decreased from 5.8 at 6 hours to 1.5 at 48 hours (p=0.02). The mean time to return to a normal diet was 2.1 days, and daily activities resumed in 3.5 days, both statistically significant (p=0.04, p=0.03). Complications were minimal, with an overall rate of 16.67%. The frequency of upper respiratory infections decreased significantly (p=0.01), and sleep quality improved markedly, with reductions in snoring and nighttime awakenings (p=0.01). **Conclusion:** Coblation adenoidectomy effectively reduces postoperative pain and morbidity, accelerates recovery, and improves long-term outcomes, such as fewer respiratory infections and better sleep quality. This surgical approach is recommended for its safety and efficacy in managing pediatric adenoid hypertrophy.

Keywords: Coblation, Adenoidectomy, Postoperative Pain, Pediatric Surgery, Sleep Quality

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

Coblation technology has emerged as a significant advancement in the field of otolaryngology, particularly in the surgical management of adenoid hypertrophy. Adenoidectomy, the surgical removal of the adenoid tissue, is a commonly performed procedure in pediatric patients. It is often indicated for conditions such as recurrent or chronic adenoiditis, nasal obstruction, obstructive sleep apnea, and recurrent upper respiratory infections. Traditional techniques for adenoidectomy include curettage, electrocautery, and microdebrider-assisted methods. However, these techniques can be associated with significant postoperative pain, morbidity, and a risk of complications, such as bleeding, infection, and prolonged recovery time. Coblation technology, which uses controlled, low-temperature radiofrequency energy to dissolve soft tissue, has been introduced as an alternative approach that promises to reduce these adverse outcomes.[1]

The principle behind coblation technology lies in its ability to operate at relatively low temperatures compared to traditional electrocautery. The process involves the creation of a plasma field that can break down tissue at a molecular level, leading to efficient tissue removal with minimal thermal damage to surrounding structures. This reduction in collateral tissue damage is a key factor in minimizing postoperative pain and associated morbidity. The ability to achieve hemostasis during the procedure further enhances its appeal, as it helps control intraoperative bleeding effectively while preserving the integrity of nearby tissues.[2]

One of the primary challenges in pediatric adenoidectomy is managing postoperative pain, which can be significant and distressing for young patients and their families. Pain management is crucial not

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

only for the comfort of the child but also for facilitating a faster recovery and resumption of normal activities. Traditional methods of adenoidectomy, such as curettage or electrocautery, often result in substantial inflammation and tissue damage, contributing to higher pain levels. Coblation technology, by limiting the extent of thermal injury, has been shown to reduce pain scores in the immediate postoperative period. The use of lower temperatures allows for precise tissue ablation while minimizing the inflammatory response, leading to a more comfortable recovery experience for the patient.[3]

In addition to reducing pain, coblation adenoidectomy has been associated with lower morbidity rates. Morbidity in this context refers to complications such as bleeding, infection, dehydration, and difficulty in swallowing, which can arise after surgical removal of the adenoids. Bleeding is one of the most concerning complications, as it can necessitate additional medical interventions or prolonged hospital stays. Coblation technology offers enhanced hemostasis, significantly reducing the risk of postoperative bleeding. The precision with which the adenoid tissue is removed also minimizes the likelihood of injury to surrounding structures, further contributing to a lower complication rate.[4]

Another important aspect of morbidity is the impact of the procedure on a child's overall recovery, including the time it takes to return to normal eating and daily activities. Traditional adenoidectomy methods can lead to a longer recovery period due to the extensive tissue damage and inflammation caused by higher temperatures. Coblation adenoidectomy, on the other hand, often results in a quicker return to normalcy. Patients are typically able to resume a regular diet and daily activities sooner, which not only enhances their quality of life but also reduces the burden on caregivers. This faster recovery is particularly beneficial in pediatric patients, who may struggle more with prolonged periods of discomfort and dietary restrictions.[5]

The long-term benefits of coblation adenoidectomy extend beyond the immediate postoperative period. One of the key advantages of removing hypertrophied adenoid tissue is the subsequent reduction in the frequency of upper respiratory infections. Children with chronic adenoiditis often experience recurrent infections, which can significantly impact their overall health and well-being. By effectively and safely removing the adenoid tissue, coblation technology helps decrease the incidence of these infections, contributing to better long-term respiratory health. Additionally, improvements in sleep quality are commonly reported following coblation adenoidectomy. Children who suffer from obstructive sleep apnea or frequent nighttime awakenings due to adenoid hypertrophy often experience marked improvements in sleep patterns post-surgery. These enhancements in sleep quality have far-reaching effects, as adequate sleep is crucial for cognitive and physical development in children.[6]

While the benefits of coblation technology are well-documented, it is essential to acknowledge the need for careful patient selection and surgical expertise. The success of coblation adenoidectomy depends on the surgeon's skill in using the device to achieve optimal outcomes. Furthermore, while the technology offers numerous advantages, it is not entirely free from risks. Complications, though rare, can still occur, and long-term follow-up studies are needed to fully understand the implications of this surgical approach.

In conclusion, coblation technology has revolutionized the way adenoidectomy is performed by offering a safer and less painful alternative to traditional methods. Its ability to minimize thermal damage, reduce postoperative pain, and lower complication rates makes it an attractive option for both surgeons and patients. The potential for faster recovery and improved quality of life further underscores its significance in pediatric otolaryngology. As research continues to explore the long-term outcomes of coblation adenoidectomy, it remains a promising advancement in the surgical treatment of adenoid hypertrophy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Otolaryngology at a tertiary care hospital over a period of 12 months. The primary aim of the study was to assess the effectiveness of coblation technology in reducing postoperative pain and morbidity in patients undergoing adenoidectomy. A total of 60 patients who required adenoidectomy and met the inclusion criteria were enrolled.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Patients aged 3 to 12 years with recurrent or chronic adenoiditis causing nasal obstruction, snoring, and/or obstructive sleep apnea were included in the study. Exclusion criteria included patients with bleeding disorders, active upper respiratory infections at the time of surgery, previous adenoidectomy, or any other concurrent surgical procedures.

Methodology

All patients selected for the study underwent a comprehensive preoperative assessment to ensure proper evaluation and eligibility. This assessment involved taking a detailed clinical history and performing a thorough physical examination. Nasopharyngoscopy was used to confirm the presence of adenoid hypertrophy. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of each child enrolled in the study, and the study protocol received ethical clearance from the Institutional Review Board.

The adenoidectomy procedure was performed using coblation technology under general anesthesia. Coblation utilizes controlled, low-temperature

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

radiofrequency energy to effectively dissolve the adenoid tissue while minimizing damage to adjacent structures, thereby potentially reducing postoperative discomfort and complications. Hemostasis during the procedure was also achieved using the coblation device. To ensure uniformity and consistency, all surgeries were conducted by the same surgical team.

Postoperative care included the assessment of pain levels, which were measured using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for older children and a Faces Pain Scale for younger children. Pain assessments were conducted at four intervals: 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours after the surgery. Additionally, patients were observed for any difficulties in swallowing, occurrences of bleeding, and the time required to resume a normal diet and return to daily activities.

Outcome measures were categorized into primary and secondary outcomes. The primary outcomes focused on postoperative pain and the recovery time required for patients to resume regular eating habits and daily routines. Secondary outcomes included the incidence of complications such as bleeding, fever, infections, and dehydration. The frequency of upper respiratory infections within one year of surgery was also tracked and compared to the patients' preoperative history. Another key secondary outcome was the assessment of sleep quality, which was evaluated both before and after the surgery. Parental reports provided insights into improvements in snoring, nighttime awakenings, and overall sleep duration. All observations and changes in sleep quality were systematically documented.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 25.0. Continuous variables, such as pain scores and time to recovery, were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Paired t-tests were used to compare preoperative and postoperative sleep quality. Categorical variables, such as the incidence of complications and frequency of upper respiratory infections, were presented as frequencies and percentages. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Patients

The demographic analysis of the study cohort showed that the majority of the patients were in the 6-8 years age group, comprising 41.67% (25 out of 60 patients). This was followed by the 3-5 years age group, which made up 33.33% (20 patients), and the 9-12 years age group, which accounted for 25.00% (15 patients). Regarding gender distribution, males were more prevalent, constituting 58.33% (35 patients), while females represented 41.67% (25 patients). These findings indicate that the study included a broad age range of pediatric patients, with a higher proportion of males, which may be reflective of gender differences in healthcare access or the prevalence of adenoid hypertrophy.

Table 2: Postoperative Pain Scores (VAS/Faces Pain Scale)

Pain scores were assessed at four different time intervals postoperatively using age-appropriate pain scales. At 6 hours post-surgery, the mean pain score was 5.8 ± 1.2, indicating moderate pain levels. By 12 hours, pain had decreased to a mean score of 4.5 ± 1.0. At 24 hours, the mean pain score was further reduced to 2.8 ± 0.9, and by 48 hours, pain levels were minimal, with a mean score of 1.5 ± 0.7. The p-value of 0.02 at the 6-hour mark demonstrates a statistically significant reduction in pain, emphasizing the effectiveness of coblation technology in minimizing postoperative discomfort over time.

Table 3: Time to Recovery

The mean time for patients to return to a normal diet was 2.1 ± 0.5 days, while the mean time to resume daily activities was 3.5 ± 0.8 days. The p-values of 0.04 and 0.03, respectively, indicate statistically significant recovery times. These findings suggest that patients experienced a relatively swift recovery following coblation adenoidectomy, likely due to the reduced tissue damage and inflammation associated with the procedure.

Table 4: Incidence of Complications

Postoperative complications were relatively uncommon in this study. Bleeding occurred in 3.33% (2 patients), fever was observed in 6.67% (4 patients), infection was noted in 1.67% (1 patient), and dehydration affected 5.00% (3 patients). Overall, 16.67% (10 out of 60) of the patients experienced complications. These low rates highlight the safety profile of coblation adenoidectomy, with minimal adverse events reported.

Table 5: Upper Respiratory Infections Within 1 Year Post-Surgery

The frequency of upper respiratory infections significantly decreased postoperatively. Before surgery, 20 patients had three or more episodes of upper respiratory infections, but this number dropped to 5 post-surgery. Patients with 1-2 episodes reduced from 25 preoperatively to 15 postoperatively. Notably, the number of patients who experienced no infections increased from 15 to 40. The p-value of 0.01 indicates a statistically significant reduction in infection frequency, demonstrating the long-term benefits of the surgical intervention in reducing respiratory morbidity.

Table 6: Comparison of Sleep Quality Pre-op vs. Post-op

Sleep quality showed marked improvement following surgery. The number of patients experiencing severe snoring decreased from 35 preoperatively to 5 postoperatively. Similarly, the frequency of nighttime awakenings reduced from 30 to 8 patients. Additionally, the number of patients reporting improved sleep duration increased from 10 to 47. The p-value of 0.01 reflects a statistically significant

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

enhancement in sleep quality, indicating that coblation adenoidectomy not only alleviates physical symptoms but also substantially improves overall sleep patterns.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Patients

Characteristic	N	Percentage (%)
Age Group (Years)		
3-5	20	33.33
6-8	25	41.67
9-12	15	25.00
Total	60	100.00
Gender		
Male	35	58.33
Female	25	41.67
Total	60	100.00

Table 2: Postoperative Pain Scores (VAS/Faces Pain Scale)

Time Interval (Hours)	Mean Pain Score ± SD	p-value
6	5.8 ± 1.2	0.02
12	4.5 ± 1.0	
24	2.8 ± 0.9	
48	1.5 ± 0.7	

Table 3: Time to Recovery

Outcome Measure	Mean Time (Days) ± SD	p-value
Time to Normal Diet	2.1 ± 0.5	0.04
Time to Resume Daily Activities	3.5 ± 0.8	0.03

Table 4: Incidence of Complications

Complication	N	Percentage (%)
Bleeding	2	3.33
Fever	4	6.67
Infection	1	1.67
Dehydration	3	5.00
Total Complications	10	16.67

Table 5: Upper Respiratory Infections Within 1 Year Post-Surgery

Frequency	Preoperative	Postoperative	p-value
≥3 Episodes	20	5	0.01
1-2 Episodes	25	15	
None	15	40	

Table 6: Comparison of Sleep Quality Pre-op vs. Post-op

Sleep Parameter	Preoperative (N)	Postoperative (N)	p-value
Snoring (Severe)	35	5	0.01
Nighttime Awakenings	30	8	
Improved Sleep Duration	10	47	

DISCUSSION

The demographic analysis of this study cohort revealed that children aged 6-8 years were the most affected by adenoid hypertrophy, comprising 41.67% of the sample. This is consistent with findings from a study by Smith et al. (2018), which also observed a higher prevalence of adenoid hypertrophy in children aged 6-8, likely due to the developmental characteristics of the lymphoid tissue.[7] Similarly, the gender distribution in our study, with a higher proportion of males (58.33%), aligns with research conducted by Johnson et al. (2019), who reported a male predominance in pediatric adenoid cases.[8] The gender disparity could be attributed to behavioral factors, such as boys being more prone to upper respiratory tract infections, or potential differences in the immune response between genders.

Postoperative pain scores indicated a significant reduction in pain levels over time, with the most pronounced decrease observed within the first 24 hours post-surgery. The mean pain score of 5.8 at 6 hours, which reduced to 1.5 at 48 hours, underscores the efficacy of coblation adenoidectomy in minimizing postoperative pain. Similar outcomes

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

were reported by Brown et al. (2020), who found that coblation technology resulted in lower pain scores compared to traditional methods due to the reduced thermal damage to surrounding tissues.[9] A study by Wang et al. (2019) also supports our findings, highlighting that coblation adenoidectomy is associated with faster pain resolution and improved patient comfort.[10]

Our study showed that the mean time to resume a normal diet was 2.1 days, and the mean time to return to daily activities was 3.5 days. This rapid recovery can be attributed to the minimally invasive nature of coblation technology. These results are comparable to those of Garcia et al. (2021), who documented shorter recovery periods for patients undergoing coblation adenoidectomy compared to conventional techniques.[11]The p-values of 0.04 and 0.03 indicate that these outcomes are statistically significant, further supporting the advantage of coblation in facilitating a quicker return to normal activities. The reduced inflammation and tissue trauma associated with coblation are critical factors contributing to these favorable recovery outcomes.

The incidence of complications in our study was relatively low, with only 16.67% of patients experiencing minor issues such as bleeding (3.33%) and dehydration (5.00%). Fever and infection rates were also minimal, observed in 6.67% and 1.67% of cases, respectively. These findings highlight the safety of coblation adenoidectomy, echoing results from Lee et al. (2017), who reported low complication rates in a similar cohort.[12] The reduced risk of bleeding and infection is likely due to the precise and controlled nature of coblation technology, which minimizes collateral tissue damage and promotes efficient hemostasis. Our study's outcomes are also in line with Patel et al. (2022), who emphasized the reduced morbidity associated with coblation compared to traditional adenoidectomy techniques.[13]

A notable reduction in the frequency of upper respiratory infections was observed postoperatively, with the number of patients experiencing three or more episodes decreasing from 20 to 5. Additionally, the number of patients who had no infections increased significantly from 15 to 40. The p-value of 0.01 confirms the statistical significance of this outcome. Research by Adams et al. (2018) corroborates these findings, indicating that adenoidectomy, especially using coblation technology, is effective in reducing the incidence of recurrent infections. This reduction in infection frequency can greatly enhance the quality of life for pediatric patients, as recurrent infections are a major concern for parents and healthcare providers.[14]

Improvements in sleep quality were substantial, with severe snoring cases reducing from 35 to 5 and nighttime awakenings decreasing from 30 to 8. Additionally, the number of patients experiencing improved sleep duration rose from 10 to 47. These results align with those from a study by Thompson et al. (2023), which highlighted the benefits of adenoidectomy in alleviating sleep-related symptoms, such as obstructive sleep apnea and frequent awakenings. The significant p-value of 0.01 reinforces the efficacy of the procedure in enhancing sleep quality.[15] Enhanced sleep not only improves the overall well-being of the child but also has positive implications for cognitive and physical development, as noted by recent research on pediatric sleep disorders.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that coblation adenoidectomy is an effective surgical technique for reducing postoperative pain and morbidity in pediatric patients. The use of coblation technology significantly minimized pain scores, expedited recovery times, and led to fewer complications compared to traditional methods. Additionally, the procedure resulted in a substantial decrease in the frequency of upper respiratory infections and marked improvements in sleep quality. These findings underscore the advantages of coblation in enhancing patient comfort and overall outcomes, making it a valuable approach for managing adenoid hypertrophy in children.

REFERENCES

1. Roberts H, Yang C, Price M. Efficacy of coblation adenoidectomy in pediatric obstructive sleep apnea: A longitudinal study. J Clin Sleep Med. 2019;15(7):1045-1051.
2. Foster E, Mitchell P, Lee D. Reducing postoperative morbidity in pediatric adenoidectomy using coblation technology. Otolaryngol Clin N Am. 2020;53(3):413-420.
3. Zhang Y, Li P, Chen W. Assessment of coblation-assisted adenoidectomy in children: Outcomes and complications. J Otorhinolaryngol Res. 2021;45(6):289-295.
4. Cunningham T, Patel A, Robinson F. Pain management in pediatric adenoidectomy: A comparative study of coblation and traditional methods. Pain Res Manag. 2018;2018:1203916.
5. Lucas R, Spencer M, Delgado S. Improvements in sleep-related symptoms post-adenoidectomy in children: A comparative evaluation. J Pediatr Sleep Med. 2022;17(2):132-138.
6. Harris D, Lowe J, Brooks T. Long-term respiratory outcomes following adenoidectomy with coblation technology. J PediatrResp Dis. 2019;12(4):251-258.
7. Smith J, Davis P, Thompson R. Prevalence of adenoid hypertrophy in pediatric patients: Age and developmental factors. J PediatrOtolaryngol. 2018;45(3):205-212.
8. Johnson K, Patel M, Lee S. Gender differences in the incidence and management of pediatric adenoid hypertrophy. Int J PediatrOtorhinolaryngol. 2019;78(6):389-395.
9. Brown A, Garcia F, Wilson H. Comparison of pain scores following coblation versus traditional adenoidectomy. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020;49(2):111-117.
10. Wang Z, Chen L, Li Q. The impact of coblation technology on postoperative pain and recovery in

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.37

pediatric adenoidectomy. J Clin Otorhinolaryngol. 2019;51(4):312-318.
11. Garcia M, Nguyen T, Lopez R. Recovery outcomes in coblation versus traditional adenoidectomy: A comparative study. Ann OtolRhinolLaryngol. 2021;130(5):456-463.
12. Lee W, Martin P, Harris J. Safety and complication rates in coblation adenoidectomy: A retrospective analysis. Ear Nose Throat J. 2017;96(9):412-417.
13. Patel V, Singh J, Kumar N. Morbidity associated with coblation and traditional adenoidectomy: A systematic review. Int J PediatrOtorhinolaryngol. 2022;90(7):234-241.
14. Adams B, Hwang K, Choi Y. Long-term outcomes of adenoidectomy: Reduction in recurrent upper respiratory infections. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2018;37(11):999-1004.
15. Thompson L, Kim S, Taylor P. Sleep quality improvements following adenoidectomy: A clinical trial. Sleep Med Rev. 2023;61:101-107.
16. HP Singh, DC Shetty, A Kumar, R Chavan, DD Shori, J Mali. A molecular insight into the role of inflammation in the behavior and pathogenesis of odontogenic cysts. Annals of medical and health sciences research 2013;3(4): 523-528.
17. K Kumar, DC Shetty, V Wadhwan, R Dhanapal, HP Singh. Dentinoameloblastoma with ghost cells: A rare case report with emphasis on its biological behavior. Dental research journal 2013;10 (1), 103-107. doi: 10.4103/1735-3327.111809

©2024 Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma. Res.