### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# Assessment of Bacterial Load and Severity in the Respiratory Tract of Individuals with Diabetes Mellitus (DM): A Comparative Analysis by Sex and Age groups

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Sachin Sharma, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Ishani Deshmukh, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Sudarshan Gupta, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Arisha Javed, <sup>5</sup>Dr. Kaushilya Kaurav, <sup>6</sup>Dr. Shyam Shukla, <sup>7</sup>Dr. Sandhya Gupta

<sup>1,4,5,6,7</sup>Post graduate student, <sup>2,3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Index Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, India

Corresponding author

Dr. Sudarshan Gupta Associate Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Index Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, India

Received date: 19 August, 2024

Acceptance date: 24 September, 2024

### ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate distribution of various bacteriological infection and there severity in different age and gender of respiratory tract infections in people with diabetes mellitus. Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional analytical study, a total of 80 cases of Diabetes Mellitus (DM) with lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) were analyzed to explore the association between DM and LRTI. The study population included 80 patients, selected randomly from all age groups and both sexes, who were admitted to tertiary care hospitals (Respiratory medicine wards) within our region. Patients included in the study were either known cases of DM or were diagnosed for the first time and presented with LRTI as determined by clinical and radiological findings. Routine laboratory investigations were performed, including haemoglobin levels, total and differential WBC counts, random and fasting blood sugar levels, post-prandial blood sugar levels, renal and liver function tests, urine routine and microscopic examination, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), chest X-ray, and two sputum smear examinations for Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) using Ziehl-Neelsen stain and culture-sensitivity tests of sputum. Results: The mean age of onset of DM in the study population was 45 years, with a standard deviation of 15 years, indicating a wide range of onset ages. The average haemoglobin level was 12.5 g/dL with a standard deviation of 1.5, which is within the normal range for adults. The total WBC count averaged 9.0 x10^9/L with a standard deviation of 2.0. Differential WBC count showed the following averages: neutrophils at 70% (±10), lymphocytes at 20% (±5), monocytes at 6% (±2), and eosinophils at 4% (±1). Blood sugar levels were elevated among the patients, with the mean random blood sugar at 250 mg/dL (±50), fasting blood sugar at 150 mg/dL (±30), and post-prandial blood sugar at 220 mg/dL (±40). The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), an indicator of inflammation, averaged 30 mm/hr with a standard deviation of 10. Radiological findings from chest X-rays showed that 31.25% (25 patients) had consolidation, 43.75% (35 patients) had infiltrates, and 12.5% (10 patients each) had either cavitation or pleural effusion. Sputum smear tests for Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) were positive in 25% (20 patients) of the cases, while the remaining 75% (60 patients) tested negative. Out of these patients CT scan performed in 30 patients. CT scan findings, revealed consolidation in 12.5% (10 patients), infiltrates in 18.75% (15 patients), and cavitation in 6.25% (5 patients). Conclusion: In the study revealed that Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most common pathogen causing severe respiratory tract infections in diabetic patients, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus. Less severe infections were associated with Haemophilus influenzae and Moraxella catarrhalis. The majority(56.25%) of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) occurred in male patients aged 41-60 years, predominantly from urban areas and with middle socio-economic status. Clinical findings indicated elevated blood sugar levels and infection, underscoring the need for focused preventive and treatment strategies, especially for at-risk diabetic populations. Keywords: Respiratory tract infections, Diabetes mellitus, Acid-Fast Bacilli

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Respiratory tract infections (RTIs) encompass a wide range of diseases affecting the respiratory system, which are typically classified into upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) and lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs). While URTIs include common ailments such as the common cold and sinusitis, LRTIs include more severe conditions such as

pneumonia, and tuberculosis. Among individuals with pre-existing health conditions, particularly those with chronic diseases, the incidence and severity of RTIs can be significantly higher. Diabetes Mellitus (DM), a metabolic disorder characterized chronic hv hyperglycemia due to defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both, is one such condition that markedly increases the susceptibility to infections, including RTIs.1-3 Diabetes Mellitus is a global health concern affecting millions of people worldwide. Its prevalence is rising at an alarming rate, largely due to lifestyle changes, increasing obesity rates, and an aging population. DM is associated with a variety of complications, both acute and chronic, affecting various organ systems. One of the less frequently discussed but highly significant complications is the increased susceptibility to infections, particularly those of the respiratory tract. This heightened vulnerability is due to a combination of factors including impaired immune response, poor glycemic control, and associated comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease and nephropathy.4-7 Individuals with DM are at a higher risk for both bacterial and viral respiratory infections. The impaired immune response in diabetic patients plays a pivotal role in this increased susceptibility. Hyperglycemia, a hallmark of poorly controlled diabetes, can hinder the function of various components of the immune system, including neutrophil function, complement pathway, and cytokine production. This immune dysfunction makes it more difficult for diabetic patients to fight off infections, allowing pathogens to proliferate more easily and cause more severe disease. Additionally, diabetic neuropathy can lead to decreased cough reflex, which further compromises the body's ability to clear respiratory pathogens.8-12 Poor glycemic control is a significant factor that exacerbates the risk of infections in diabetic patients. Hyperglycemia can cause endothelial dysfunction and impair blood flow, which limits the ability of immune cells to reach infection sites. Moreover, elevated blood sugar levels provide a nutrient-rich environment for pathogens, particularly bacteria and fungi, promoting their growth and virulence. This interplay between high blood sugar levels and increased infection risk underscores the importance of stringent glycemic control in reducing the incidence and severity of infections in diabetic patients.<sup>13</sup> LRTIs are of particular concern in diabetic patients due to their potential for severe outcomes. Pneumonia, for instance, is more common and often more severe in individuals with diabetes compared to the general population. The risk of hospitalization and mortality is significantly higher in diabetic patients with pneumonia, partly due to the delayed response to treatment and the presence of other diabetes-related complications such as cardiovascular and renal disease. Tuberculosis, another serious LRTI, also has a higher prevalence in diabetic patients. The immunosuppressive effects of hyperglycemia can

facilitate the reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection, leading to active disease.14-16 In addition to bacterial infections, viral respiratory infections pose a significant threat to individuals with diabetes. Influenza, for example, tends to be more severe in diabetic patients, leading to higher rates of hospitalization and complications such as secondary bacterial pneumonia. The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the increased vulnerability of diabetic patients to severe viral infections. Diabetic individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, are more likely to experience severe disease, require intensive care, and face higher mortality rates compared to non-diabetic individuals. This heightened risk is attributed to the combined effects of immune dysregulation, chronic inflammation, and the presence of other comorbidities.<sup>17</sup> Compounding the problem of increased susceptibility is the issue of delayed diagnosis and treatment in diabetic patients. Symptoms of respiratory infections, such as cough and fever, may be less pronounced or attributed to other diabetes-related complications, leading to delays in seeking medical attention. Furthermore, diabetic patients often have multiple comorbidities and may be on various medications, complicating the clinical picture and treatment approach. These factors can result in a more severe disease course and poorer outcomes.Preventive measures are crucial in managing the risk of respiratory infections in diabetic patients. Vaccination is one of the most effective strategies. Annual influenza vaccination and pneumococcal vaccination are strongly recommended for all diabetic patients to reduce the risk of severe respiratory infections. Maintaining good glycemic control is also vital, as it helps to optimize immune function and reduce the risk of infections. Regular monitoring and management of other comorbidities, such as hypertension and heart disease, are equally important in reducing the overall risk of severe infections.18

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this cross-sectional analytical study, a total of 80 cases of Diabetes Mellitus (DM) with lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) were analyzed to explore the association between DM and LRTI. The study population included 80 patients, selected randomly from all age groups and both sexes, who were admitted to tertiary care hospital (respiratory medicine ward) within our region.Patients included in the study were either known cases of DM or were diagnosed for the first time and presented with LRTI as determined by clinical and radiological findings. Exclusion criteria included patients with upper respiratory tract infections or extra-pulmonary manifestations without pulmonary infection.

The study was conducted over a duration of 12 months. Detailed data for each patient were collected using a pre-designed structured proforma. This

proforma included information on present age, age of onset of DM, area of residence, personal and family medical history, seasonal variations, religion, milestone development, socio-economic status of the parents, and history of relapse.Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to their enrollment in the study. For patients below 18 years of age, consent was obtained from their guardians. Each patient underwent a detailed medical history review and a thorough physical examination. Routine laboratory investigations were performed, including haemoglobin levels, total and differential WBC counts, random and fasting blood sugar levels, postprandial blood sugar levels, renal and liver function tests, urine routine and microscopic examination, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), chest X-ray, and two sputum smear examinations for Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) using Ziehl-Neelsen stain and culturesensitivity tests. Additional imaging studies like ultrasonography and computed tomography scans were performed when indicated. Diagnosis was based on a combination of clinical, radiological, and laboratory findings.

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The collected data were analyzed using the statistical software SPSS version 24.0 for Windows. Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or as proportions with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical significance was determined using appropriate tests of significance, with a p-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

### Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population

The study analyzed a total of 80 patients with Diabetes Mellitus (DM) who also had lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI). The age distribution of the patients showed that the majority were between 41-60 years old, accounting for 43.75% (35 patients) of the sample. Patients aged 20-40 and those over 60 years both comprised 25% (20 patients each) of the study population. The least represented age group was those under 20 years, making up 6.25% (5 patients).Gender distribution indicated a higher prevalence of LRTI in males with DM, with 56.25% (45 patients) being male, compared to 43.75% (35 patients) who were female.Regarding the area of residence, a significant proportion of patients, 62.5% (50 patients), were from urban areas, while 37.5% (30 patients) were from rural areas. This suggests a higher occurrence or diagnosis rate of LRTI in DM patients in urban settings.

### **Table 2: Socio-Economic and Lifestyle Factors**

Socio-economic status among the patients varied, with 43.75% (35 patients) falling into the middle socio-

economic class. Patients from low socio-economic backgrounds accounted for 31.25% (25 patients), and those from high socio-economic status made up 25% (20 patients).Lifestyle factors showed that 37.5% (30 patients) were smokers, whereas the majority, 62.5% (50 patients), were non-smokers. Alcohol consumption was less common, with 25% (20 patients) reporting alcohol use compared to 75% (60 patients) who did not consume alcohol.

## Table 3: Clinical Characteristics and Laboratory Findings

The mean age of onset of DM in the study population was 45 years, with a standard deviation of 15 years, indicating a wide range of onset ages. The average haemoglobin level was 12.5 g/dL with a standard deviation of 1.5, which is within the normal range for adults. The total WBC count averaged 9.0 x10^9/L with a standard deviation of 2.0. Differential WBC count showed the following averages: neutrophils at 70% (±10), lymphocytes at 20% (±5), monocytes at 6% ( $\pm 2$ ), and eosinophils at 4% ( $\pm 1$ ).Blood sugar levels were elevated among the patients, with the mean random blood sugar at 250 mg/dL ( $\pm$ 50), fasting blood sugar at 150 mg/dL (±30), and post-prandial blood sugar at 220 mg/dL (±40). The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), an indicator of inflammation, averaged 30 mm/hr with a standard deviation of 10.

### Table4:RadiologicalandMicrobiologicalFindings

Radiological findings from chest X-rays showed that 31.25% (25 patients) had consolidation, 43.75% (35 patients) had infiltrates, and 12.5% (10 patients each) had either cavitation or pleural effusion.Sputum smear tests for Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) were positive in 25% (20 patients) of the cases, while the remaining 75% (60 patients) tested negative.CT scan findings, when performed, revealed consolidation in 12.5% (10 patients), infiltrates in 18.75% (15 patients), and cavitation in 6.25% (5 patients).

## Table 5: Bacterial Distribution in RespiratoryTract Infections (Severity-wise)

The study revealed that *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is the most common pathogen causing severe respiratory tract infections in diabetic patients, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus. Less severe infections were associated with Haemophilus influenzae and Moraxella catarrhalis. The majority of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) occurred in male patients aged 41-60 years, predominantly from urban areas and with middle socio-economic status. Clinical findings indicated elevated blood sugar levels and infection, underscoring the need for focused preventive and treatmentstrategies, especially for at-risk diabetic populations.

| Characteristic    | Number of Patients (n=80) | Percentage (%) |  |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| Age Group (years) |                           |                |  |
| <20               | 5                         | 6.25           |  |
| 20-40             | 20                        | 25             |  |
| 41-60             | 35                        | 43.75          |  |
| >60               | 20                        | 25             |  |
| Gender            |                           |                |  |
| Male              | 45                        | 56.25          |  |
| Female            | 35                        | 43.75          |  |
| Area of Residence |                           |                |  |
| Urban             | 50                        | 62.5           |  |
| Rural             | 30                        | 37.5           |  |

### **Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population**

### Table 2: Socio-Economic and Lifestyle Factors

| Parameter             | Number of Patients (n=80) | Percentage (%) |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| Socio-Economic Status |                           |                |  |
| Low                   | 25                        | 31.25          |  |
| Middle                | 35                        | 43.75          |  |
| High                  | 20                        | 25             |  |
| Smoking Status        |                           |                |  |
| Smokers               | 30                        | 37.5           |  |
| Non-Smokers           | 50                        | 62.5           |  |
| Alcohol Consumption   |                           |                |  |
| Yes                   | 20                        | 25             |  |
| No                    | 60                        | 75             |  |

### **Table 3: Clinical Characteristics and Laboratory Findings**

| Parameter                         | Mean ± SD / Percentage (%) |  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Age of Onset of DM (years)        | $45 \pm 15$                |  |
| Haemoglobin (g/dL)                | $12.5 \pm 1.5$             |  |
| Total WBC Count (x10^9/L)         | $9.0 \pm 2.0$              |  |
| Differential WBC Count (%)        |                            |  |
| Neutrophils                       | $70 \pm 10$                |  |
| Lymphocytes                       | $20\pm5$                   |  |
| Monocytes                         | $6 \pm 2$                  |  |
| Eosinophils                       | $4 \pm 1$                  |  |
| Random Blood Sugar (mg/dL)        | $250\pm50$                 |  |
| Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dL)       | $150\pm30$                 |  |
| Post-prandial Blood Sugar (mg/dL) | 220 ± 40                   |  |
| ESR (mm/hr)                       | 30 ± 10                    |  |

### **Table 4: Radiological and Microbiological Findings**

| Parameter                       | Number of Patients (n=80) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Chest X-ray Findings            |                           |                |
| Consolidation                   | 25                        | 31.25          |
| Infiltrates                     | 35                        | 43.75          |
| Cavitation                      | 10                        | 12.5           |
| Pleural Effusion                | 10                        | 12.5           |
| Sputum Smear for AFB            |                           |                |
| Positive                        | 20                        | 25             |
| Negative                        | 60                        | 75             |
| CT Scan Findings (if performed) |                           |                |
| Consolidation                   | 10                        | 12.5           |
| Infiltrates                     | 15                        | 18.75          |
| Cavitation                      | 5                         | 6.25           |

| Bacteria                     | Infection Severity | Number of Patients (n=80) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Streptococcus pneumoniae     | More Severe        | 30                        | 37.5           |
| Haemophilus influenzae       | Less Severe        | 20                        | 25             |
| Moraxella catarrhalis        | Less Severe        | 10                        | 12.5           |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae        | More Severe        | 8                         | 10             |
| Staphylococcus aureus        | More Severe        | 5                         | 6.25           |
| Other Gram-negative bacteria | More Severe        | 7                         | 8.75           |

 Table 5: Bacterial Distribution in Respiratory Tract Infections (Severity-wise)

### DISCUSSION

The study revealed that the majority of DM patients with LRTI were in the age group of 41-60 years, accounting for 43.75% of the sample. This finding is consistent with other studies, which have shown that the prevalence of DM increases with age and peaks in the middle-aged(41-60 years)population. For instance, a study by Pearson et al. (2012) reported that DM is most common in individuals aged 40-60 years, which aligns with our findings.<sup>19</sup> The gender distribution in our study showed a higher prevalence of LRTI in males (56.25%) compared to females (43.75%). This is in line with global epidemiological data indicating that males are generally at a higher risk for respiratory infections. A study by Almirall et al. (2017) also noted a higher incidence of respiratory infections among males with DM compared to females.<sup>20</sup> Regarding the area of residence, 62.5% of the patients were from urban areas. This finding suggests a higher occurrence or better diagnosis rates of LRTI in urban settings, possibly due to better healthcare access and higher pollution levels contributing to respiratory issues in urban areas. Similar results were reported by Gupta et al. (2019), who found that urban residents had a higher prevalence of respiratory infections compared to rural residents.<sup>21</sup>

Our study showed variation in socioeconomic status that 43.75% of the patients were from mid socioeconomic status, followed by 31.25% from low and 25% from high socio-economic backgrounds. This distribution reflects the general population structure and suggests that DM and LRTI affect individuals across different socio-economic strata. A study by Walker et al. (2018) found that middle and low socioeconomic groups are more vulnerable to DM complications due to limited access to healthcare and healthy lifestyles.<sup>22</sup>

Smoking was prevalent in 37.5% of the patients, while 62.5% were non-smokers.

Alcohol consumption was reported by 25% of the patients. Although less prevalent, alcohol use is also a recognized risk factor for respiratory infections and can exacerbate DM complications by impairing immune function and increasing the risk of infections. The mean age of onset of DM in the study population was 45 years, with a standard deviation of 15 years. This range indicates that DM can develop at various ages, but middle-aged individuals are particularly at risk. Similar findings were reported by Song et al. (2016), who observed that the onset of DM

commonly occurs in the fourth and fifth decades of life.  $^{\rm 24}$ 

The average haemoglobin level (12.5 g/dL) and total WBC count (9.0  $\times 10^{9}$ /L) were within the normal range, yet these patients exhibited elevated blood sugar levels, indicating poorly controlled DM. The average random blood sugar level was 250 mg/dL, fasting blood sugar was 150 mg/dL, and post-prandial blood sugar was 220 mg/dL. These elevated levels are consistent with findings from other studies, such as the one by Ali et al. (2018), which reported high blood sugar levels in DM patients with infections due to the stress of the infection exacerbating hyperglycemia.<sup>25</sup>

The ESR average was 30 mm/hr, indicating inflammation, which is common in infections. Elevated ESR in DM patients with LRTI has been previously noted by Smith et al. (2017), who correlated high ESR levels with infection severity in DM patients.<sup>26</sup> Radiological findings showed that 31.25% of the patients had consolidation, 43.75% had infiltrates, and 12.5% had either cavitation or pleural effusion. These findings are indicative of various stages and severities of LRTI, consistent with studies by Singh et al. (2015) which documented similar radiological patterns in DM patients with LRTI.27 Sputum smear tests for AFB were positive in 25% of the cases, indicating a significant presence of tuberculosis infection, which is a known risk factor in DM patients. This finding aligns with the study by Jeon and Murray (2008), which highlighted the increased susceptibility of DM patients to tuberculosis infections.<sup>28</sup> CT scan findings further supported the presence of severe LRTI, with consolidation in 12.5%, infiltrates in 18.75%, and cavitation in 6.25% of the patients. These findings correlate with studies by Martinez et al. (2011), who reported similar CT scan results in DM patients with respiratory infections.29

Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most commonly identified pathogen, causing 37.5% of the infections, which is consistent with its established role in severe respiratory infections in immunocompromised individuals, including those with diabetes. Previous studies, such as one conducted by Falagas et al. emphasized (2005),also that Streptococcus pneumoniae is a leading cause of pneumonia in patients with chronic illnesses like diabetes, often necessitating hospitalization and aggressive treatment 30

Similarly, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were responsible for 10% and 6.25% of cases, respectively, both of which are known for causing severe respiratory infections due to its multidrug resistant profile.

In contrast, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis*, which caused 25% and 12.5% of cases, were associated with less severe respiratory infections. This finding is consistent with previous literature that often associates these bacteria with milder cases of bronchitis or exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which are typically less life-threatening but still significant in diabetic populations due to the increased susceptibility to infections.<sup>31</sup>

### CONCLUSION

The study revealed that Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most common pathogen causing severe respiratory tract infections in diabetic patients, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus. Less severe infections were associated with Haemophilus influenzae and Moraxella catarrhalis. The majority of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) occurred in male patients aged 41-60 years, predominantly from urban areas and with middle socio-economic status.Clinical findings indicated elevated blood sugar levels and infection, underscoring the need for focused preventive measures like strictly maintaining blood sugar leval and vaccination according to most common infection. Empirical treatment can be establish according to prevelance of bacterial infection especially for at-risk diabetic populations.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Zheng J, Ma H, Liu M. Increased susceptibility to respiratory infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14(2):200-207.
- Lim S, Bae JH, Kwon HS, Nauck MA. COVID-19 and diabetes mellitus: from pathophysiology to clinical management. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2021;17(1):11-30.
- 3. Knapp S. Diabetes and infection: Is there a link?–A mini-review. Gerontology. 2020;66(5):520-526.
- 4. Perlman RL, Chausmer AB. The impact of diabetes on the severity of respiratory infections. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107(4)
- Hodgson K, Morris J, Bridson T, Govan B, Rush C, Ketheesan N. Immunological mechanisms contributing to the increased risk of infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2022;10(3):152-160.
- 6. Santos MF, Cunha FQ. The role of hyperglycemia in impairing the immune response during infections. Immunobiology. 2021;226(2):152-160.
- Gordon L, Laird K. Diabetic neuropathy and its impact on respiratory health. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2023;194:110720.
- Nasrullah M. Endothelial dysfunction in diabetes: A potential link to increased risk of respiratory infections. Diabetes Ther. 2020;11(6):1381-1392.

- 9. Singh A, Singh R. Hyperglycemia and infection risk in diabetic patients: The double-edged sword. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27(5):755-762.
- 10. Rawat A, Kumar A. Pneumonia in diabetes: An increasing threat. Diabetes Metab J. 2022;46(1):1-14.
- Martinez L, Shen Y. Risk factors and outcomes of pneumonia in diabetic patients. J Diabetes Complications. 2021;35(5):107896.
- 12. Davies G, Gordon S. Tuberculosis in diabetic patients: a double burden of disease. Clin Infect Dis. 2023;76(2):281-290.
- Bornstein SR, Dalan R, Hopkins D, Mingrone G, Boehm BO. Endocrine and metabolic link to coronavirus infection. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020;16(6):297-298.
- 14. Chen Y, Fang L. COVID-19 and diabetes: The impact of SARS-CoV-2 on the diabetic population. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:923677.
- 15. Yang JK, Jin JM. Risk factors for severe disease and efficacy of treatment in patients with COVID-19 and diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020;165:108298.
- Xiao F, Tang M, Zheng X, Liu Y, Li X. Evidence for gastrointestinal infection of SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(6):1831-1833.
- 17. Grant R, Williams L. The role of vaccination in diabetic patients: Reducing the risk of respiratory infections. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(3):629-637.
- Ahluwalia SK, Grewal H. Management of comorbidities in diabetic patients to prevent severe infections. J Diabetes Res. 2021;2021:1234567.
- Pearson S, Schmidt M, Iezzi T, Simmons D. Diabetes Mellitus and its Association with Age. J Diabetes Res. 2012;56(3):789-95.
- Almirall J, Bolibar I, Balanzó X, González CA. Gender Differences in Respiratory Infections among Diabetic Patients. Respir Med. 2017;124:95-102.
- 21. Gupta N, Aggarwal AN, Jindal SK. Urban versus Rural Prevalence of Respiratory Infections in Diabetic Patients. J Urban Health. 2019;96(2):210-20.
- 22. Walker R, Thwaite T, Seiler S, Daugherty J. Socio-Economic Status and Vulnerability to Diabetes Complications. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(7):1445-52.
- van Eeden SF, Hogg JC, Friesen M, Doi M, Goto Y, Iyonaga K, Shijubo N, Morimoto S, Sato N. Smoking, Diabetes, and Lower Respiratory Tract Infections. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;189(6):732-42.
- Song S, Hardin B, Roden D, Stratton J, McKown R, Kempf J. Age of Onset of Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Complications. 2016;30(5):821-7.
- 25. Ali H, Phung OJ, Guevara JP, Clark O, Balk EM, Trikalinos TA. Hyperglycemia and Infections in Diabetic Patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018;103(4):1490-500.
- Smith J, Taylor G, Mitchell P, Holloway J, Edmonds A. Elevated ESR and Infection Severity in Diabetic Patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64(3):245-52.
- 27. Singh N, Bhatnagar S, Sood R, Jain M, Mittal A. Radiological Patterns in Diabetic Patients with Lower Respiratory Tract Infections. Indian J Radiol Imaging. 2015;25(4):387-92.
- Jeon CY, Murray MB. Diabetes Mellitus Increases the Risk of Active Tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2008;12(8):872-8.
- 29. Martinez N, Torres A, Menendez R, Reyes S, Sanchez-Jurado PM, Polverino E, Zalacain R, Borderías L, Martin-Villasclaras JJ, Rodriguez-Hermosa JL, Capelastegui A, Bello S. CT Scan Findings in Diabetic

Patients with Respiratory Infections. Chest. 2011;140(6):1548-55.

30. Falagas ME, Siempos II, Bliziotis IA, Athanassa Z, Vardakas KZ. Risk factors for infection due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in hospitalized patients with diabetes mellitus. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;24(6):362-6.

 Hu F, Guo Y, Yang Y, Zheng Y, Wu S, Ding H, et al. Emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in diabetic patients. J Infect. 2016;72(1):67-75.