

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# Antifungal Susceptibility testing of Candida Species Isolates from vulvo vaginal Candidiasis cases from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Central India

Venkateswarlu Mudu<sup>1</sup>, Sachin Kumar Mishra<sup>2</sup>, Ramanath Karicheri<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Microbiology, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre Malwanchal University, Indore, India.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre Malwanchal University, Indore, India.

<sup>3\*</sup>Professor, Department of Microbiology, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre Malwanchal University, Indore, India.

### Corresponding Author

Dr. Ramanath Karicheri

Professor, Department of Microbiology, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre

Malwanchal University, Indore, India

Email: [ramanath.karicher@gmail.com](mailto:ramanath.karicher@gmail.com)

Received: 09 May, 2024

Accepted: 29 June, 2024

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Drug resistance among Candida species is a serious concern. The present study is undertaken to perform the antifungal susceptibility of the Candida species isolated from the cases of vulvo vaginitis from a tertiary care centre. **Methods:** High vaginal swabs from 350 patients with symptoms of vulvovaginitis cases were collected and organisms were identified by gram stain, KOH wet mount and culture on Sabourauds Dextrose agar. Species differentiation was done by standard methods. Antifungal susceptibility was performed by disc diffusion methods. **Result:** A total number of 116 (33.1%) subjects showed pure growth of Candida species by conventional standard methods. Isolation of NAC was higher (26.7%) than C.albicans. The most common species isolated by conventional methods was C. albicans (36.3%) followed by C. glabrata (24.1%), C. tropicalis (22.5%), C. krusei (10.3%) and C.parapsilosis (7.7%). Disc diffusion results showed maximum resistance to ketoconazole (20.6%) followed by that to fluconazole and nystatin (15.5%), amphotericin B (8.6%) and voriconazole (7.7%). **Conclusion:** Identification of the species and antifungal susceptibility testing is necessary to prevent the spread of drug resistance candida species in the management of vulvovaginal candidiasis.

**Key words:** Vulvo vaginal Candidiasis, Candida albicans, Non albicans candida, disc diffusion test.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

### INTRODUCTION

In women of reproductive age, Candida species are the primary cause of vulvovaginal infections. Previously, Candida spp. was infrequently documented for antifungal resistance; however, this has now become a significant global health care concern. The sensitivity patterns of NAC spp. (Non albicans Candida) are more unpredictable and may be influenced by factors such as patient age and geographic location,<sup>1</sup> despite the fact that the majority of C. albicans strains are innately susceptible to commonly used antifungal medications. Choice of an antifungal agent for candidiasis treatment has been confounded by the gradual increase in the number of new and broad-spectrum antifungal drugs and the

emergence of antifungal resistance<sup>2,3</sup>. Consequently, the selection of a therapeutic agent that is both accurate and appropriate necessitates the antifungal susceptibility testing of Candida spp. that are infected. Antifungal susceptibility testing has emerged as a critical tool in the development of drugs and the monitoring of antifungal resistance in epidemiologic studies in recent years.<sup>4</sup> Susceptibility testing of fungi was uncommon until recently.<sup>5</sup> This was the result of a combination of factors, including the scarcity of antifungal medications, the scarcity of clinical cases that required systemic antimycotic therapy, and the unreliability of the correlation between in vivo and in vitro results. The current situation has undergone a significant transformation as a result of the

proliferation of disseminated mycotic infections, the expansion of the number of antifungal agents available, and, most significantly, the development of inherent and acquired immunity in numerous yeasts to antifungal agents that are frequently employed.<sup>6,7</sup> The objective of the current investigation is to evaluate the antifungal susceptibility pattern of the *Candida* species that were isolated from the vulvovaginal Candidiasis cases at a tertiary care centre in India.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, tertiary care hospital, central India. A total number of 350 patients with complain of vaginal discharge attending department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology are included in the study.

High vaginal swabs were collected from the patients with symptoms of vulvovaginitis. The organisms were preliminarily identified by Grams staining and potassium hydroxide wet mounts. The culture was done on the Sabouraud's dextrose agar (SDA) and the organisms were further confirmed by Gram staining in which gram positive budding fungal yeast cells were observed. The growth of *Candida* on SDA was confirmed based on colony morphology and Gram stain examination. Species identification of *Candida* isolates was done by following standard mycological methods including germ tube test, sugar fermentation and sugar assimilation, colour of colony on Hi Chrome *Candida* agar and chlamyospores formation on Corn meal agar.

**Antifungal susceptibility testing:** Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed by disk diffusion method using Mueller-Hinton Agar, 2% Glucose with Methylene Blue Dye Medium as per CLSI guidelines (C.L.S.I. document M44-A2, 2009.). The inoculum was prepared by taking five distinct colonies of approximately 1 mm in diameter from at least 24 h old culture of *Candida* species. Colonies were suspended in 5 ml of sterile saline and its turbidity was adjusted visually with the transmittance to that produced by a 0.5McFarland standard was used to standardize the inoculum density. Antifungal susceptibility testing was undertaken by the disk diffusion method. Using disk dispenser (Oxoid™), fluconazole disk (10 µg), itraconazole (10 µg), voriconazole (10 µg), clotrimazole (10 µg) and nystatin (100 IU) antifungal discs (Thermo Scientific™ Oxoid™) were applied on MHA (ThermoScientific™ Oxoid™) as recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) M44A document. The plates were incubated in ambient air at 37°C and read at 24 hours. The diameters of zones of inhibition were measured in millimetres using a ruler for each antifungal disk. Interpretation of all antifungal susceptibility (susceptible S, susceptible dose dependent [SDD], and resistant R) was done according to CLSI standards.

Quality control was undertaken by using quality control strains, *C.albicans* ATCC 90028 and *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019. All the culture media, Antifungal disk, and control strains were obtained from Himedia Laboratories, India.

## RESULT

Totally 116 *Candida* species were isolated from 350 high vaginal swabs. Out of 116 *Candida* isolates, 74/116 (63.7%) were Non-*albicans* *Candida* (NAC) and 42/116(36.2%) were *C.albicans*. Among NAC, 26/116(22.4%) were *C. glabrata*, followed by 24/116(20.6%) *C. tropicalis*, 16/116(13.7%) *C.parapsilosis* and 10/116(8%) were *C. krusei*. The speciation of *Candida* species done by *Candida* HiChrom agar color of the colony and Germ tube test. *Candida albicans* was showing green color colonies & germ tube positive, *Candida glabrata* shows purple color colonies and germ tube negative, *Candida krusei* shows Pink color colonies & germ tube negative, *Candida tropicalis* shows Blue color colonies & germ tube negative and *Candida parapsilosis* shows cream color colonies and germ tube negative.

Out of the 42 *Candida albicans* isolated (35 isolates, 83.3%) were susceptible to Fluconazole, (34 isolates, 80.9 %) were susceptible to Voriconazole, (32 isolates, 76.1%) susceptible to Ketoconazole, (38 isolate 90.4%) was susceptible to Nystatin and (40 isolates 95.2%) was susceptible to Amphotericin B. For 76 isolates of Non *albicans* *Candida* the 26 isolates of *Candida glabrata*, (21 isolates, 80.7%) were susceptible to Fluconazole, (24 isolates, 92.3%) were susceptible to Voriconazole, (19 isolates, 73.0%) susceptible to Ketoconazole, (22 isolate 84.6%) was susceptible to Nystatin and (24 isolates 92.3%) was susceptible to Amphotericin B. Of the 24 isolates of *Candida Tropicalis*, (18 isolates, 75%) were susceptible to Fluconazole, (23 isolates, 95.8%) were susceptible to Voriconazole, (17 isolates, 70.8%) susceptible to Ketoconazole, (18 isolate 75%) was susceptible to Nystatin and (23 isolates 95.8%) was susceptible to Amphotericin B. Of the 16 isolates of *Candida Parapsilosis*, (13 isolates, 81.2%) were susceptible to Fluconazole, (16 isolates, 100%) were susceptible to Voriconazole, (13 isolates, 81.2%) susceptible to Ketoconazole, (11 isolate 68.7%) was susceptible to Nystatin and (14 isolates 87.5%) was susceptible to Amphotericin B. Of the 8 isolates of *Candida krusei*, (4 isolates, 50%) were susceptible to Fluconazole, (7 isolates, 87.5%) were susceptible to Voriconazole, (5 isolates, 62.5%) susceptible to Ketoconazole, (6 isolate 75%) was susceptible to Nystatin and (6 isolates 75%) was susceptible to Amphotericin B.

## DISCUSSION

The rate of isolation of NAC was 63.7% higher in our study than that of *C. albicans*, which was 36.2%. Additionally, Kikani B et al<sup>8</sup>. have reported that NAC is isolated at a higher rate than *C. albicans* (55.6% vs

44.4%), Deepa Babin et al.<sup>9</sup> (64.5% vs 35.5%), and Namrata et al.<sup>10</sup> (53% vs 47%). Nevertheless, Tehran<sup>11</sup> (65.1% vs 34.9%), Sudan<sup>12</sup> (92% vs 8%), Egypt<sup>13</sup> (60.3% vs 39.7%), Turkey<sup>14</sup> (59.9% vs 40.1%), and India<sup>15</sup> (66% vs 34%) have reported higher isolation rates of the most prevalent species, *C. albicans*, than NAC. *C. glabrata* (24.1 %) was the second most prevalent isolate in the current study, following *C. albicans*. It has been reported to be the second most prevalent isolate in cases of VVC from Saudi Arabia<sup>16</sup> (31%), Turkey<sup>17</sup> (34.5%), Australia<sup>18</sup> (20%), Egypt<sup>19</sup> (12.7%), and India<sup>20</sup> (11%). *C. tropicalis* was the third most prevalent isolate in the current study, following *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata*.<sup>20,21,22</sup> The rates of *C. tropicalis* isolation in VVC cases ranged from 4% to 26.4%. Candida isolates that were resistant to fluconazole by the disk diffusion method were identified in our study (15.5%). This discovery is comparable to the resistance reports of Lee et al<sup>23</sup> (17.1%) and Kustimur et al<sup>24</sup> (16%). Nevertheless, Ooga et al.<sup>25</sup> (25%), Negri et al.<sup>26</sup> (27%), and Zomorodian et al.<sup>27</sup> (3.4%) reported a higher rate of resistance, compared to Colombo et al.<sup>28</sup> (6%), Kikani et al.<sup>29</sup> (8.2%), and Pfaller et al.<sup>30</sup> (9.9%), a lower rate than that of our investigation. In our investigation, fluconazole resistance was observed in 7.1% of *C. albicans*. Our results are comparable to those of Capoor et al<sup>31</sup> (21.8%). However, Doddaiiah V et al.<sup>32</sup> reported that it was present in 8.6% of their *C. albicans* isolates. Despite the fact that none of our isolates were resistant, several researchers<sup>33,34,35</sup> have reported fluconazole resistance in *C. tropicalis* (10-11%) and *C. glabrata* (31-33%). 7.7% of our isolates exhibited resistance to voriconazole. This is in close agreement with the results of Babin et al<sup>37</sup> (14%), Dalia Saad El Feky et al (7.9%), and Das P P et al<sup>36</sup> (6.45%). Voriconazole resistance was observed in 21.1% of the *C. albicans* isolates in our study and in 50% of the *C. parapsilosis* isolates. It is possible that the higher level of resistance to Ketoconazole (20.6%) than to voriconazole (9.1%) observed in this study is due to the fact that Ketoconazole is used more frequently than voriconazole. The resistance to ketoconazole is a cause for concern, as it is the most frequently used azole for the treatment of candidiasis and is also cost-effective. Therefore, it is imperative to exercise caution when prescribing and/or using Ketoconazole. Voriconazole, on the other hand, appears to be a superior option due to its more effective binding to the cytochrome P-450 isoenzyme of *Candida*<sup>38</sup> species and the lower resistance it has encountered. In the current study, 8.6% of *Candida* species exhibited resistance to Amphotericin B, a figure that has been reported as 1.37% by Kashid et al<sup>39</sup> and zero percent by Negri et al<sup>40</sup> and Dota et al.<sup>41</sup> The resistance to amphotericin B in *C. albicans* of our study was 4.7%, which is comparable to the rates reported by Capoor et al<sup>42</sup> and Badiie et al<sup>43</sup> (4.3% and 7%, respectively).

## CONCLUSION

The present study showed the distribution of various candida species in the initiation of vulvovaginitis and the results of antifungal susceptibility by disc diffusion method showed maximum resistance to ketoconazole (20.6%) followed by that to fluconazole and nystatin (15.5%), amphotericin B (8.6%) and voriconazole (7.7%). Identification of the species and antifungal susceptibility testing is necessary to prevent the spread of drug resistance candida in the management of vulvovaginal candidiasis.

## REFERENCES

1. Segal, E.; Soroka, A.; Schechter, A. Correlative relationship between adherence of *Candida albicans* to human vaginal epithelial cells in vitro and candidal vaginitis. *Med. Mycol.* 1984, 22, 191–200.
2. Gilmore, B.J.; Retsinas, E.M.; Lorenz, J.S.; Hostetter, M.K. An iC3b Receptor on *Candida albicans*: Structure, Function, and Correlates for Pathogenicity. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1988, 157, 38–46.
3. Goswami, D.; Goswami, R.; Banerjee, U.; Dadhwal, V.; Miglani, S.; Lattif, A.A.; Kochupillai, N. Pattern of *Candida* species isolated from patients with diabetes mellitus and vulvovaginal candidiasis and their response to single dose oral fluconazole therapy. *J. Infect.* 2006, 52, 111–117.
4. Fothergill A., *Antifungal Susceptibility Testing: Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute (CLSI) Methods, Interactions of Yeasts, Moulds, and Antifungal Agents: LLC-2012.*
5. How to Detect Resistance, DOI 10.1007/978-1-59745-134-52, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Reference method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility testing of yeasts. Approved standard M27-A3 3rd ed, PA: CLSI; 2008; vol 28(14).
6. Barnes, P.J. Glucocorticosteroids: Current and future directions. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2011, 163, 29–43.
7. Donders, G.G.G.; Prenen, H.; Verbeke, G.; Reybrouck, R. Impaired tolerance for glucose in women with recurrent vaginal candidiasis. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2002, 187, 989–993.
8. Kikani B, Kikani K, Pathak S. Effects of chemically synthesized azole compounds on clinical isolates of vaginal candidiasis, incomparision with commercially available drugs, *Internet J Micro- biol* 2008; 4:2.
9. Babin D, Kotigadde, Rao Sunil P and Rao TV. Clinico-mycological profile of vaginal candidiasis in a tertiary care hospital in Kerala. *International Journal of Research in Biological Sciences*, 2013; 3(1):55-59.
10. Kalia N., Singh J., Sharma S., Kamoj S., Arora H., et al. Prevalence of Vulvovaginal Infections and species specific distribution of vulvovaginal candidiasis in married women of north India. *Int.J.of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 2015; 4(8): 253-266.
11. Mahnaz Mahmoudi Rad, Ameneh Sh Zafarghandi, Maryam Amel Zabihi, Mahkam Tavallae, and Yasaman Mirdamadi. Identification of *Candida* Species Associated with Vulvovaginal Candidiasis by Multiplex PCR. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2012.
12. Ibrahim Ali Altayyarl, AlliwaShihaAlsanosil and NazarAbdalazeem Osman : Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis among pregnant women attending different gynecological clinic at South Libya; *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2016, 6(3):25-29)
13. Dalia Saad El Feky, Noha Mahmoud Gohar, Eman Ahmad El-Seidi, Mona Mahmoud Ezzat, Somaia Hassan Abo Elew . Species identification and antifungal susceptibility pattern of *Candida* isolates in cases of vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Alexandria J of Med.* (2016), 52, 269-277.
14. Ayse Kalkana, Ahmet Bads Ouzel, Israa Ibrahim Jabban Khalil et al. Yeast vaginitis during pregnancy: L Susceptibility testing of 13 antifungal drugs and boric acid and detection of four virulence factors: *Medical Mycology*: 2012 (50); 585-593.

15. Chander J., Singla N., Kaur S., Sidhu S., Epidemiology of Candida blood stream infections; experience of a tertiary care centre in North India: J Infect Dev Ctries 2013; 7(9):670-675.
16. Ribeiro MA, Dietze R, Paula CR, Da Matta DA, Colombo AL. Susceptibility profile of vaginal yeast isolates from Brazil. Mycopathologia 2000; 151:5-10.
17. Otero L, Fleites A, Mendez FJ, Palacio V, Vazquez F. Susceptibility of candida species isolated from female prostitutes with vulvovaginitis to antifungal agents and boric acid. European Journal of Clinical Microbiol Infect Disease 1999; 18: 59-61.
18. Pfaller M., Diekema D., et al. Stability of Mueller-Hinton agar Supplemented with Glucose and Methylene Blue for Disk Diffusion Testing of Fluconazole and Voriconazole. J. Clin. Microbiol. March 2004; (42)3: 128889.
19. Galan A., Veronica V., Murgui A., et al. Rapid PCR-based test for identifying Candida albicans by using primers derived from the pH-regulated KER1 gene. FEMS Yeast research November 2006; vol(6) :Pages 1094-1100.
20. Galan A., Veronica V., Murgui A., et al. Rapid PCR-based test for identifying Candida albicans by using primers derived from the pH-regulated KER1 gene. FEMS Yeast research November 2006; vol(6) :Pages 1094-1100.
21. Pfaller M., Diekema D., et al. Stability of Mueller-Hinton agar Supplemented with Glucose and Methylene Blue for Disk Diffusion Testing of Fluconazole and Voriconazole. J. Clin. Microbiol. March 2004; (42)3: 128889.
22. Kalpana. A study on speciation and antifungal susceptibility pattern of Candida isolates from HIV patients with Oropharyngeal Candidiasis and correlation with CD4 count. Madras Medical College the Tamil nadu DR.M.G.R Medical University Chennai, India march 2010.
23. Lee SC, Fung CP, Lee N, See LC, Huang JS, Tsai CJ et al. Fluconazole Disk Diffusion Test with Methylene Blue- and Glucose- Enriched Muller-Hinton Fluconazole and Voriconazole by Standardized Disk Diffusion Testing. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43 (12): 5848-59
24. Kustimur S, Kalkanci A, Mansuroglu H, Senel K. evaluation of the disc diffusion method with a comparison study for fluconazole susceptibility of candida strains. Chin Med J. 2003; 116 (4): 633-6.
25. Ooga VB, Gikunju JK, Bii CC. Characterization and antifungal drug susceptibility of clinical isolates of candida species. Afr J Health Sci. 2011; 19:80-7.
26. Negri M, Henriques M, Svidzinski TI, Paula CR, Oliveira R. Correlation Between Etest, Disk Diffusion, and Microdilution Methods for Antifungal Susceptibility testing of candida Species from Infection and Colonization. J. Clin Lab Anal. 2009; 25 (5):324-30.
27. Zomorodian K, Rahimi MJ, Pakshir K, Motamedi M, Ghiasi MR, Reza Shah H. determination of antifungal susceptibility patterns among the clinical isolates of Candida species. J global infect Dis. 2011; 3(4): 357-60.
28. Colombo AL, Da matta D, De Almeida LP, Rosas R. Fluconazole Susceptibility of Brazilian Candida Isolates Assessed by a Disk Diffusion
29. Kikani B, Kikani K, Pathak S. Effects of chemically synthesized azole compounds on clinical isolates of vaginal candidiasis, in comparison with commercially available drugs, Internet J Micro- biol 2008; 4:2.
30. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Rinaldi MG, Barnes R, Hu B, Veselove AV et al. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study: a 6.5 year analysis of Susceptibilities of Candida and Other Yeast Species to Fluconazole and Voriconazole by Standardized Disk Diffusion testing. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43 (12): 5848-59.
31. Capoor MR, Nair D, Deb M, Verma P K, Srivastava L, Aggarwal P, Emergence of nonalbicans Candida Species and Antifungal Resistance in a Tertiary Care Hospital. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2005; 58(6):344-8.
32. Dodaiah V., Dhanalakshmi T., Kulkarni S., Changing trends of ulrovaginal Candidiasis. Journal of Laboratory Physicians 2014; 6(1): 28- 30.
33. Whiteway M., Bachewich C., Signal transduction in the interactions of fungal pathogens and mammalian hosts. In Molecular principles of fungal pathogenesis. Heitman J, Filler SG, Edwards JE Jr, Mitchell AP, eds. 2006; 143-161 ASM Press, Washington DC.
34. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Meis JF, Gould IM et al. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2005: an 8.5-Year Analysis of Susceptibilities of Candida Species and Other Yeast Species to Fluconazole and Voriconazole Determined by CLSI Standardized Disk Diffusion Testing. J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45 (6): 1735-45.
35. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell V A, Ellis D, Tullio V et al. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: a 10.5-Year Analysis of Susceptibilities of Candida Species to Fluconazole and Voriconazole as Determined by CLSI Standardized Disk Diffusion. J Clin Microbiol. 2010; 48 (4):1366-77.
36. Das PP, Saikia Lahari, Nath R and Phukan Sanjib Kumar. Species distribution and antifungal susceptibility pattern of oropharyngeal Candida isolates from human immunodeficiency virus infected individuals. Indian Journal of Medical Research 2016; 143(4):495-501.
37. Dalia Saad ElFeky, Noha Mahmoud Gohar, Eman Ahmad El-Seidi, Mona Mahmoud Ezzat, Somaia Hassan Abo Elew. Species identification and antifungal susceptibility pattern of Candida isolates in cases of vulvovaginal candidiasis. Alexandria J of Med. (2016), 52, 269-277.
38. Regha IR. In vitro susceptibilities of Candida isolates to Fluconazole and Voriconazole determined by disc diffusion in a tertiary care centre. South India. Int J Res Heal Sci 2014; 2(3):783-6.
39. Kashid RA, Belawadi S, Devi G, Indumati. Characterisation and antifungal susceptibility testing for Candida species in a tertiary care hospital. Journal of Health Sciences and Research. 2011; 2(2):1-7.
40. Negri M, Henriques M, Svidzinski TI, Paula CR, Oliveira R. Correlation Between Etest, Disk Diffusion, and Microdilution Methods for Antifungal Susceptibility testing of candida Species from Infection and Colonization. J. Clin Lab Anal. 2009; 25 (5):324-30.
41. Dota KFD, Freitas AR, Consolaro MEL, Svidzinski TIE. A Challenge for Clinical Laboratories: Detection of Antifungal Resistance in Candida Species Causing Vulvovaginal Candidiasis. 2011, February, Lab Medicine; 42 (2):87-93.
42. Capoor MR, Nair D, Deb M, Verma PK, Srivastava L, Aggarwal P, Emergence of nonalbicans Candida Species and Antifungal Resistance in a Tertiary Care Hospital. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2005; 58(6):344-8.
43. Badiiee P, Alborzi A. Susceptibility of clinical Candida species isolates to antifungal agents by E-test, Southern Iran: A five year study. Iran J Microbiol. 2011; 3 (4): 183.