ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Fetomaternal outcomes of Forceps versus Ventouse delivery: A Three years retrospective study in a tertiary care hospital in Eastern India

Dr. Abantika Ghosh¹, Dr. Arpan Kumar Ghosh², Dr. Jyotirmay Biswas³, Dr. Alaap Chowdhury⁴

¹Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

²Assistant Professor, ³Demonstrator, Department of Physiology, College of Medicine and JNM Hospital, WBUHS, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

⁴Internee Doctor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, R.G. Kar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Corresponding author

Dr. Abantika Ghosh

Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Email: Abantika.ghosh2000@gmail.com

Received Date: 22 August, 2024 Accepted Date: 27 September, 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Vaginal deliveries accomplished with the use of forceps or vacuum device (ventouse) are termed as operative vaginal deliveries. **Materials and methods:** A unicentric, retrospective study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of R.G Kar Medical College, Kolkata, West Bengal, India to compare the maternal and fetal effects of forceps vs ventouse delivery over a period of three years (2020 to 2022). Log book records collected over the said period of time was analyzed using Spss software. Comparison was done using Chi square (χ^2)test. P value< 0.05 was considered statistically significant. **Results:** Third and fourth degree perineal tears and traumatic PPH were more with forceps delivery in all three years (P < 0.05). The highest rate of failure was seen with ventouse application in 2020 (4.61%). In our study, ventouse delivery was associated with lesser maternal trauma but was accompanied by increased fetal complications like cephalhematoma and severe jaundice. **Conclusion:** Instrumental delivery when performed by skilled operators and after correct judgement, can be very useful to reduce unnecessary Caesaren section rates. Training of residents is therefore mandatory for obtaining optimum and efficient use of either instrument with minimal maternal and fetal complications.

Keywords: Instrumental delivery, Retrospective study, Fetomaternal outcomes

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

Vaginal deliveries accomplished with the use of forceps or vacuum device (ventouse) are termed as operative vaginal deliveries. Either of the device when applied to the fetal head, generates traction forces to augment maternal pushing to deliver the fetus vaginally. (1)

Termination of second stage of labour by these instruments may be necessary for fetal indications (viz. non reassuring fetal heart rate pattern) or maternal indications (heart disease, pulmonary compromise, intrapartum infection, maternal exhaustion).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A unicentric, retrospective study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of R.G Kar Medical College, Kolkata, West Bengal to compare the maternal and fetal effects of forceps vs ventouse delivery over a period of three years (2020 to 2022). Log book records collected over the said period of time was analyzed using Spss software. complications like third and fourth degree perineal tear, maternal genital hematoma, traumatic PPH, ICU/ HDU admission, failed procedure requiring Caesarean section and maternal death analyzed. Fetal complications that were considered

Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122 DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.10.2024.109

were minor scalp injury, scalp laceration, cephalhematoma, severe jaundice, brachial palsy, facial nerve palsy, NICU admissions and neonatal death. Comparison was done using Chi square (χ^2)test. P value< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In 2020, there were total 418 forceps (7.42% of total vaginal deliveries) and 65 ventouse deliveries (1.15% of total vaginal deliveries). Third and fourth degree perineal tears were more in the forceps group (8.37%, P < 0.0001). Forceps delivery resulted in a greater number of traumatic PPH (10.28%, P < 0.0001). Amongst the neonates born , minor scalp injury was more with forceps use (4.30%, P = 0.0003). NICU admission was more in the ventouse group (12.07%) as compared to forceps group (6.45%, P = 0.001). In 2021, there were total 313 forceps (5.95% of total vaginal deliveries) and 87 ventouse deliveries (1.65% of total vaginal deliveries). Third and fourth degree perineal tears were more in the

forceps group (25%, P < 0.0001). Forceps delivery resulted in a greater number of traumatic PPH (38%, P < 0.0001). There were a greater number of failed procedure with forceps (8%) compared with ventouse (5.44%, P = 0.01). Amongst the neonates born, minor scalp injury was more with ventouse (4.59%) than with forceps use (4.15%, P = 0.02).

Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122

In 2022, there were total 349 forceps (6.62% of total vaginal deliveries) and 32 ventouse deliveries (0.60% of total vaginal deliveries). Third and fourth degree perineal tears were more in the forceps group (13.18%, P < 0.0001). Forceps delivery resulted in a greater number of traumatic PPH (18.91%, P< 0.0001). There were a greater number of failed procedure with ventouse (3.12%) compared with forceps (2.86%, P= 0.006).Amongst the neonates born, minor scalp injury was more with forceps use (11.17%, P = < 0.0001). NICU admission was more in the ventouse group (28.12%) as compared to forceps group (9.45%, P = 0.002).

Table 1: Frequency of instrumental delivery over a period of three years

Year	Vaginal Delivery	Forceps	Percentage(%)	Ventouse	Percentage(%)
2020	5631	418	7.42	65	1.15
2021	5260	313	5.95	87	1.65
2022	5271	349	6.62	32	0.60
Total	16162	1080	6.68	184	1.13

Table 2: Maternal complications with forceps vs ventouse delivery over a period of three years

YEAR	MATERNAL	AFTER	PERCENTAG	AFTER	PERCENT	СНІ	P
ILAK	COMPLICAT	FORCEP	E(%)	VENTOU			VALUE
			L (%)		AGE (%)	SQUAR	VALUE
	ION	S		SE		ED	
		DELIVE		DELIVE		(χ^2)	
		RY		RY			
2020	Third or Fouth	35	8.37	2	3.07	29.43	<
	degree perineal						0.0001(
(total	tears						*)
forceeps	Maternal	12	2.87	5	7.69	2.88	0.08
=418,	genital						
Ventou	hematoma						
se =	Traumatic PPH	43	10.28	5	7.69	30.08	< 0.0001
65)	requiring						(*)
	transfusion						
	Maternal	5	1.19	1	1.53	2.66	0.1
	HDU/ICU						
	admission						
	Failed	6	1.43	3	4.61	1.00	0.3
	procedure						
	requiring						
	Caesarean						
	section						
	Maternal death	2	0.47	0	0	-	-
	Third or Fouth	25	7.98	2	2.29	19.59	< 0.0001
	degree perineal						(*)
2021	tears						
(total	Maternal	5	1.59	3	3.44	0.5	0.4
				L L		1	l .

Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.10.2024.109

forceps	genital						
= 313,	hematoma						
Ventou	Traumatic PPH	38	12.14	4	4.59	27.52	< 0.0001
se =	requiring						(*)
87)	transfusion						
2021	Maternal	3	0.95	2	2.29	0.2	0.6
	HDU/ICU						
	admission						
	Failed	8	2.55	1	1.14	5.44	0.01 (*)
	procedure						
	requiring						
	Caesarean						
	section						
	Maternal death	4	1.27	1	1.14	1.80	0.1
	Third or Fouth	46	13.18	1	3.125	43.08	< 0.0001
	degree perineal						(*)
	tears						
	Maternal	12	3.43	0	0	-	-
	genital						
	hematoma						
	Traumatic PPH	66	18.91	2	6.25	60.23	< 0.0001
2022	requiring						(*)
2022	transfusion						
1	Maternal	8	2.29	0	0	-	-
(total	HDU/ICU						
forceps	admission						
= 349,	Failed	10	2.86	1	3.125	7.36	0.006(*)
Ventou	procedure						
se =	requiring						
32)	Caesarean						
	section						
	Maternal death	0	0	0	0	-	-

(Statistically significant results are marked with *)

Table 3: Fetal complications with forceps vs ventouse delivery over a period of three years

VEAR | FETAL | AFTER | PERCENTA | AFTER | PERCENTA | CHI

YEAR	FETAL	AFTER	PERCENTA	AFTER	PERCENTA	CHI	P
	COMPLICATI	FORCEP	GE (%)	VENTOU	GE (%)	SQUAR	VALUE
	ON	S		SE		ED	
		DELIVE		DELIVE		(χ^2)	
		RY		RY			
2020	Minor scalp	18	4.30	2	3.07	12.8	0.0003(*
(total	injury)
forceps	Scalp laceration	12	2.87	0	0	-	-
= 418,	requrinig						
Ventou	suturing						
se =	Cephalhematom	3	0.71	4	6.15	0.14	0.70
65)	a						
	Severe jaundice	2	0.47	3	4.61	0.20	0.65
	Brachial palsy	1	0.23	0	0	=	-
	Facial nerve	0	0	0	0	-	-
	palsy						
	NICU	27	6.45	8	12.07	10.31	0.001(*)
	admission						
	Neonatal death	2	0.47	0	0	-	-
2021	Minor scalp	13	4.15	4	4.59	4.76	0.02(*)
(total	injury						
forceps	Scalp laceration	4	1.27	1	1.14	1.80	0.17
= 313,	requrinig						
Ventou	suturing						

Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.10.2024.109

se =	Cephalhematom	3	0.95	7	8.04	1.60	0.20
87)	a						
	Severe jaundice	1	0.31	1	1.14	-	
	Brachial palsy	1	0.31	0	0	-	-
	Facial nerve palsy	0	0	0	0	-	-
	NICU admission	14	4.47	6	6.89	3.20	0.07
	Neonatal death	0	0	0	0	-	-
2022	Minor scalp	39	11.17	2	6.25	33.39	<0.0001(
	injury						*)
(total	Scalp laceration	3	0.85	0	0	-	-
forceps = 349,	requrinig suturing						
Ventou	Cephalhematom	4	1.14	11	34.37	3.26	0.07
se =	a						
32)	Severe jaundice	10	2.86	12	37.5	0.18	0.66
	Brachial palsy	0	0	0	0	-	-
	Facial nerve	1	0.28	0	0	-	-
	palsy						
	NICU	33	9.45	9	28.12	13.71	0.0002(*
	admission)
	Neonatal death	2	0.57	0	0	-	

(Statistically significant results are marked with*)

DISCUSSION

Forceps and ventouse delivery are not substitutes for Caesarean section, however, they are safer alternatives in many cases if applied using appropriate protocols.

In our study institute, use of forceps was more frequent (N=1080) than the use of ventouse (N'= 184) over the study period. Instrumental delivery was used to expedite the birth either for maternal or fetal indications. Forceps use was associated with a greater number of maternal complications like third and fourth degree perineal tear and traumatic PPH. The results obtained in our study was similar to the study by Shi Wu Wen (2)and Archanna⁽⁴⁾. Failed instrumental delivery is quite common. In our study, the highest rate of failure was seen with ventouse application in 2020 (4.61%). RCOG guidelinesstate that sequential use of instruments should be avoided wherever possible and should not be attempted by inexperienced operators^(5,6). Also, SOGC guidelines suggest that failure of chosen method within a reasonable time should call for abandonment of the method. (7) In our study, failure to deliver by one instrument was followed by Caesarean section. In our study, ventouse delivery was associated with lesser maternal trauma but was accompanied by increased fetal complications like cephalhematoma and severe jaundice. The results were similar to the studies of Johnson RB (8) and D Monga (4).

CONCLUSION

Our study compared the maternal and fetal outcomes with forceps vs ventouse deliveries

retrospectively over a period of three years. Overall, maternal genital injury was more with forceps delivery while fetal complications like cephalhematoma and NICU admission was more with ventouse delivery. Instrumental delivery when performed by skilled operators and after correct judgement, can be very useful to reduce unnecessary Caesaren section rates. Training of residents is therefore mandatory for obtaining optimum use of either instrument with minimal maternal and fetal complications.

REFERENCES

- Cunningham F, &Leveno K.J., & Dashe J.S., & Hoffman B.L., & Spong C.Y., & Casey B.M.(Eds.), Williams Obstetrics, 26e. McGraw Hill. https://obgyn.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?booki d=2977§ionid=249396578
- Wen S, Shiliang L, Kramer SM, et al. Comparison of maternal and infant outcomes between vacuum extraction and forceps deliveries. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;153:103–107. doi: 10.1093/aje/153.2.103. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/153.2.103
- 3. ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetricians-gynecologists. Number 17. 2000
- 4. Archanna S, Monga D. Outcome of forceps delivery versus vacuum extraction—a review of 200 cases. *Singapore Med J.* 1994;35:605–608.
- Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. Instrumental vaginal delivery. Guideline No. 26. London: RCOG; 2005.
- Singh A, Rathore P. A comparative study of fetomaternal outcome in instrumental vaginal delivery. J ObstetGynaecol India. 2011 Dec;61(6):663-6. doi:

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.10.2024.109

- 10.1007/s13224-011-0119-3. Epub 2012 Jan 17. PMID: 23204687; PMCID: PMC3307924.
- Cargill YM, Mackinnon CJ. Guidelines for operative vaginal birth. Society of obstetrician &gynecologists of Canada, SOGC clinical practice guidelines no. 148. J ObstetGynecol Can. 2004;26:747–753.
- 8. Johnson RB, Menon V. Vacuum extraction versus forceps for assisted vaginal delivery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; 1999 (issue 2)
- 9. Demissie K, Rhoads GG, Smulian JC, et al. Operative vaginal delivery, neonatal, infant adverse outcomes:
- population based retrospective analysis. *BMJ*. 2004;329:24. doi: 10.1136/bmj.329.7456.24.

Online ISSN: 2250-3137

Print ISSN: 2977-0122

- 10. Miksovsky P, Watson WJ. Obstetric vacuum extraction: state of the art in the new millennium. *ObstetGynaecolSurv.* 2001;56:736–751. doi: 10.1097/00006254-200111000-00025.
- Patel BS, Pandya NC, Sahram AS. Maternal and perinatal outcome in forceps and ventouse extraction delivery:

 a comparative study. ObstetGynaecolSurv. 2000;5:614–619.