

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Comparison of the level of serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) with radiological severity of knee osteoarthritis in Maharashtrian population: A case-control study

Namrata Ghatge¹, Abhay Jagtap², Ashutosh Bhosale³, Somnath Salgar⁴

¹PhD Scholar, ²Associate Professor, ⁴Professor and Head, Department of Biochemistry, BJ Government Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India

³Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon, Department of Orthopaedics, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding author

Namrata Ghatge

PhD Scholar, Department of Biochemistry, BJ Government Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Email: namrata.abhibhosale@gmail.com

Received: 11 January, 2025

Accepted: 21 February, 2025

Published: 09 March, 2025

Abstract

Background: Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common joint disease. The Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) classification is used to assess knee OA severity through radiographic images. Monitoring OA can also be done by measuring biological markers of cartilage degradation. Studies suggest that cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) levels increase with the severity of cartilage damage. This study is plan to examine the level of serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein and compare it with the severity of knee osteoarthritis based on the KL grading scale.

Methodology: 50 primary knee osteoarthritis patients and 50 controls aged 40 and above underwent radiographic assessment (K-L grading) based on the American classification of rheumatology. The serum COMP level is estimated for all subjects by Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Results: The mean of serum COMP (ng/ml) is 237.11 ± 177.61 in the patient group, which is significantly higher than the mean COMP of the control group, 149.78 ± 108.01 , with $p = 0.004$. The mean of Serum COMP levels (ng/ml) are measured between control and different grades shows statistically significant difference. Sub-group analysis showed a significant difference in mean COMP levels between the control group and Grades 3 and 4.

Conclusion: In the present study, we found that serum COMP levels can effectively distinguish individuals with knee osteoarthritis (OA) from those without OA. Additionally, COMP levels are significantly higher in advanced OA stages compared to normal and early-stage OA, indicating the serum level of COMP can be used to diagnose normal and diseased individuals and to assess different grades of severity of disease.

Keywords: ACR, Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein, KL grading, Knee Osteoarthritis

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Introduction:

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common degenerative joint disease, primarily affecting the knee, a major weight-bearing joint. OA affects not only cartilage but also the synovium, ligaments, tendons, muscles, subchondral bone, and adipose tissue.¹ The disease develops slowly over many years, leading to the gradual breakdown of joint cartilage and changes in the bone. This results in joint dysfunction. The disease mechanism includes a combination of biochemical and cellular changes in the joint tissues

that cause structural changes over the years.² These structural changes are diagnosed based on symptoms, which are usually confirmed by a plain radiograph. However, radiography is insensitive for early diagnosis and monitoring of disease progression because by the time OA is diagnosed by x-ray, it has progressed further and caused irreversible damage to joints.^{3,4} Another imaging method like MRI may be useful for early diagnosis and monitoring of OA but the costs and availability limit their application for routine radiographic use.⁵ Therefore, researchers have

focused on developing disease-specific biomarkers. However, progress in OA biomarker development has faced many challenges due to the disease's unknown exact cause and its heterogeneous nature.⁶ To assess the severity of OA earlier biomarkers of joint cartilage damage are needed. During the process of cartilage matrix turnover, cartilage matrix fragments, and various macromolecules are released into the synovial fluid, blood, and urine which can be useful for early diagnosis of OA.⁷ One of the byproducts of cartilage metabolism is cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP). It is a 524-kD pentameric glycoprotein belongs to the thrombospondin family. COMP is a cartilage marker and is considered important for extracellular matrix stability.^{8,9} COMP is found mainly in cartilage, and recent studies have demonstrated that COMP expression can also be expressed in other structures such as the ligaments, menisci, tendons, and synovial membrane.^{10,11} Previous studies show the role of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) in initiating and progressing knee OA disease.^{12,13} Even Singh et al¹⁴ show serum COMP can be used to examine different grades of severity of OA disease and differentiate between normal and diseased individuals. Very few studies have been reported in the Asian population, so the present study aims to compare the severity of knee OA disease with the serum level of cartilage oligomeric matrix proteins (COMP) in the Maharashtrian population.

Material and Methods:

Study Design: This case control study is done at the Department of Biochemistry in association with Department of Orthopaedics of tertiary care hospital, Pune.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Inclusion criteria are newly diagnosed primary knee osteoarthritis patient aged 40 years and above. Exclusion criteria included secondary knee osteoarthritis, other knee joint diseases, chronic illnesses (renal, hepatic, or malignant conditions), and any systemic disease affecting the joints.

Selection of participants: After approval from the institutional ethical committee, 100 participants are selected, including 50 patients with primary knee osteoarthritis and 50 healthy controls, aged 40 years and above. Patients are enrolled from the orthopaedics outpatient Department of a tertiary care hospital. All participants have been provided written informed consent. Selection follows the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) clinical criteria for knee

osteoarthritis. Healthy controls are volunteers or first-degree relatives of patients, aged 40 years and above, with no clinical or radiological signs of arthritis, following the same exclusion criteria as the patient group.

Data Collection and Analysis: All participants underwent a thorough assessment, including medical history, clinical examination, and knee X-rays to evaluate structural damage. Body Mass Index (BMI) is recorded. Standardized anteroposterior weight-bearing radiographs of both knee joints are taken and classified using the Kellgren and Lawrence grading system (Grade 1 to Grade 4).⁹ A 5 mL morning venous blood sample is collected from each participant, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15–20 minutes, and the supernatant is stored at -20°C for further analysis. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) levels are measured using a competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (Human Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein, COMP GENLISA™ ELISA, KBH1486, Krishgen Biosystem, Maharashtra, India). Data is collected and entered in Microsoft excel version 13 and analysed statistically using IBM SPSS version 21.0. For categorical variables frequency and percentage of the data is obtained. For Continuous variable Mean and Standard Deviation is obtained. For comparison between the Groups Students Independent t test is applied. For comparison of the Disease severity and Biomarkers Analysis of Variance ANOVA with Post Hoc Tukey's is applied. All the statistical tests are applied keeping confidence interval at 95% and (p<0.05) is statistically significant.

Results:

This study includes 100 subjects, consisting of 50 patients and 50 controls. The demographic data and comparison of serum COMP levels in both groups under the study are shown in Table 1. In the patient group, there are 10 males and 40 females and in the control group, there are 22 males and 28 females. The mean age is 51.68 ± 5.68 in the patient group, which is significantly higher than the mean age of the control group 48.42 ± 5.11 with $p = 0.0002$. The mean BMI is $26.51 \pm 4.31 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in the patient group is significantly higher than the mean BMI of the control group $24.98 \pm 4.01 \text{ kg/m}^2$ with $p = 0.05$. The mean COMP (ng/ml) is 237.11 ± 177.61 in the patient group is significantly higher than the mean COMP (ng/ml) of the control group 149.78 ± 108.01 with $p = 0.004$. Mean of age, BMI, and serum COMP (ng/ml) are statistically significant in both study groups.

Table 1: Demographic characteristic and Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) in both groups.

Demographic Data (N=100)	Range	Control (N=50)	Patient (N=50)	p-value
Age (year)	40-87	48.42 ± 5.11	51.68 ± 5.68	0.0002*
Male (%)	32%	22(44.0%)	10(20.0%)	0.37
Female (%)	68%	28(56.0%)	40(80.0%)	

BMI(kg/m²)	15-35	24.98 ±4.01	26.51 ±4.31	0.05*
Serum COMP(ng/ml)	10.4 ± 699.61	149.78 ±108.01	237.11 ±177.61	0.004*

N: Sample size; SD: Standard deviation, p-value * < 0.05 is statistically significant, COMP -Cartilage oligomeric matrix proteins, ng/ml- nanogram per milliliter

Patient distribution according to K-L grade by radiograph

On radiographic assessment, the number of patients with different K-L grades is shown as Grade 1 (8%), Grade 2 (36%), Grade 3 (30%), and Grade 4(26%)in Table 2.

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to KL grading scale

		Patients (N=50)
K-L grading	Grade 1	4 (8%)
	Grade 2	18 (36%)
	Grade 3	15 (30%)
	Grade 4	13 (26%)

N: Sample size; KL grading scale as Grade 1, Grade 2, Grade 3, Grade 4

Comparison of Serum COMP levels and different radiographic grading of OA severity

The Mean level of serum COMP(ng/ml) in control is (149.79 ± 109.12) as compared to Grade 1 patients (156.03 ± 84.21), Grade 2 (170.72 ± 121.03), Grade 3 (277.20 ± 220.97) and Grade 4(315.04 ± 182.62) with statistically significant difference (P< 0.001)is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of the COMP (ng/ml) between disease severity (x-ray grading)

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum	F	P Value
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
Normal	50.00	149.79	109.12	15.43	118.78	180.80	10.40	410.10	5.0 89	.001*
Grade 1	4.00	156.03	84.21	42.10	2.04	270.02	24.56	211.12		
Grade 2	18.00	170.72	121.03	28.53	110.54	230.91	22.40	376.60		
Grade 3	15.00	277.20	220.97	57.05	154.83	399.57	12.23	699.61		
Grade 4	13.00	315.04	182.62	50.65	204.68	425.39	40.35	591.85		

N: Sample size; p-value * < 0.05 is statistically significant; Severity of disease according to KL grading scale as Grade 1,Grade 2,Grade 3,Grade 4.

Further sub-group analysis by Tukey HSD showed a significant mean difference in COMP levels (ng/ml) between the control group and Grade 3 (-127.405, p = 0.026) and Grade 4 (-165.247, p = 0.003). No significant differences are found between other groups as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Pairwise Comparison of the COMP (ng/ml) between Disease Severity

Multiple Comparisons						
Dependent Variable: COMP (ng/ml)						
Tukey HSD						
(I) X Ray Grading	(J) X Ray Grading	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	P Value	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Normal	Grade 1	13.75980	74.20646	1.000	-192.5983	220.1179
	Grade 2	-20.93409	39.25482	.984	-130.0964	88.2283
	Grade 3	-127.40553	42.04224	.026*	-244.3193	-10.4917
	Grade 4	-165.24712	44.46041	.003*	-288.8855	-41.6088
Grade 1	Grade 2	-34.69389	78.94142	.992	-254.2193	184.8315
	Grade 3	-141.16533	80.36390	.405	-364.6465	82.3158
	Grade 4	-179.00692	81.65497	.192	-406.0783	48.0645
Grade 2	Grade 3	-106.47144	49.92694	.215	-245.3115	32.3686
	Grade 4	-144.31303	51.97958	.051	-288.8612	.2351
Grade 3	Grade 4	-37.84159	54.11548	.956	-188.3294	112.6462

The severity of disease according to the K-L grading scale as Grade 1, Grade 2, Grade 3, Grade 4, p-value * < 0.05 is statistically significant

Discussion:

The traditional way of diagnosis of KOA is based on clinical presentation and radiological assessment (K-L grade). By the time knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is clinically diagnosed, joint tissue degeneration is already advanced. Therefore, research now focuses on early detection using biochemical markers of cartilage metabolism in serum and synovial fluid. One such marker of cartilage degradation is serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP).¹⁶

In the current study, the mean age in the control is 48.42 ±5.11, and in the patients mean age is 51.68 ± 5.68 showing a statistical difference (p=0.002). Mean BMI is 24.98 ±4.01 kg/m² in the control group, and the mean BMI of the patient group is 26.51 ±4.31kg/m² with p= 0.05 statistically significant. Several studies show that age and overweight are two primary risk factors for knee osteoarthritis (OA) due to their combined impact on joint structure and function.¹⁷⁻²⁰

As people age, the ability of cartilage to repair itself decreases, leading to thinning and loss of cartilage over time. This makes the knee joint more susceptible to damage. Excess body weight significantly rise the mechanical and metabolic burden on the knee joint. Adipose tissue secretes pro-inflammatory cytokines that can lead to joint inflammation and cartilage degradation, exacerbating OA progression.

In this study, the mean serum COMP level (ng/ml) is 149.78 ±108.01 in the control group and 237.11 ± 177.61 in patients with knee osteoarthritis. The difference in serum COMP levels between patients and controls is statistically significant (p = 0.004), indicating that serum COMP can effectively differentiate between healthy and diseased knees. Previous studies showsimilar findings where the level of serum COMP can easily differentiate between normal and KOA patients.²¹⁻²⁴ Increased levels of serum COMP in patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA) compared to healthy controls are primarily due to increased cartilage degradation and turnover linked with the disease. In knee osteoarthritis (KOA), cartilage degradation releases COMP into the bloodstream, increasing its level in the serum.

In the present study among patientsgroup Grade 1 is (8%),Grade 2 (36%),Grade 3 (30%),and Grade 4 (26%).Serum COMP (ng/ml) level in control is (149.79±109.12),Grade 1 (156.03 ±84.21), Grade 2(170.72 ±121.03), Grade 3(277.2±220.97), Grade 4(315.04 ±182.62) arestatistically significant difference(p=0.001).The mean serum COMP shows a progressive increase with the increasein the severity of knee osteoarthritis disease.Several researchers have pointed outthe increase in level of serum COMP in patientswith different OA grading.^{16,22,25-26}As KOA severity progresses, the breakdown of cartilage intensifies, leading to a greater release of COMP into the bloodstream.

Further pairwise comparison in the present study shows the level of serum COMP with disease severity

depicted a significant mean difference between the control group and Grade 3 (-127.405, p = 0.026) and Grade 4(-165.247, p = 0.003).No significant difference is found between the other pairs,indicating that serum COMP levels are higher in the higher grades compared to the normal and grade 1 groups. Similar results were shown by elevated levels of serum Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein (COMP),which are associated with the progression of knee osteoarthritis (KOA).^{27,28} As the disease advances from early stages (Grades 1 and 2) to more severe stages (Grades 3 and 4), increased cartilage degradation leads to higher serum COMP concentrations.

Conclusion:

The study found a significant increase in serum COMP levels in knee osteoarthritis (OA) patients compared to healthy controls. This suggests that serum COMP may help distinguish knee OA patients from healthy individuals. As the disease progressed, serum COMP levels were notably higher in advanced OA (Grade 3 and Grade 4) than in early OA (Grade 1 and Grade 2) compared to normal subjects. A larger prospective study is needed to confirm these findings in the Indian population.

Acknowledgement

I sincerely thank my guide, the Head of the Department, and the Orthopaedic Surgeon for their valuable guidance. I also appreciate the support of my coworkers and the technical staff of the Department of Biochemistry at BJ Government Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune, for their assistance in ensuring the smooth conduct of my research project.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Information

No Funding is received for this research work.

Ethical Information

The study is ethically approved by Ethical committee on human research of BJ Government Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune.

References:

1. Robinson H, Lepus M, Wang q, Raghu H, Mao R, Lindstrom M et al. Low-grade inflammation as a key mediator of the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatology*. 2016;12(10):580-92.
2. Xia B, Di C, Zhang J, Hu S, Jin H, Tong P. Osteoarthritis pathogenesis: a review of molecular mechanisms. *Calcif. Tissue Int*. 2014; 95(6), 495–505.
3. Attur M, Krasnokutsky-Samuels S, Samuels J, Abramson SB. Prognostic biomarkers in osteoarthritis. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol*. 2013; 25(1), 136–144.
4. Braun HJ, Gold GE. Diagnosis of osteoarthritis:

- imaging. *Bone*.2012; 51(2), 278–288.
5. Betancourt M, Linden J, Rivadeneira F et al. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry analysis contributes to the prediction of hip osteoarthritis progression. *Arthritis Res. Ther.*2009; 11(6), R162.
 6. Henrotin Y. Osteoarthritis year 2011 in review: biochemical markers of osteoarthritis: an overview of research and initiatives. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.2012; 20(3), 215–217.
 7. Andriyasa K, Putra TR. Korelasi Antara DerajatBeratnya Osteoarthritis Lutut. *J Penyakit Dalam*. 2012; 13:10–20.
 8. Clark AG, Jordan JM, Vilim V, Renner JB, Dragomir AD, Luta G, Kraus VB. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein reflects osteoarthritis presence and severity: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1999; 42:2356–64.
 9. Chen FH, Herndon ME, Patel N, Hecht JT, Tuan RS, Lawler J. Interaction of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein/thrombospondin5 with aggrecan. *J Biol Chem*. 2007;282(34):24591–8
 10. Neidhart M, Hauser N, Paulsson M, Dicesare PE, Michel BA, Häuselmann HJ. Small fragments of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein in synovial fluid and serum as markers for cartilage degradation. *Br J Rheumatol*.1997; 36:1151.
 11. Muller G, Michel A, Altenburg E. COMP (cartilage oligomeric matrix protein) is synthesized in ligament, tendon, meniscus, and articular cartilage. *Connect Tissue Res*. 1998; 39:233–44
 12. Watt FE. Osteoarthritis biomarkers: Year in review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2018; 26:312-8.
 13. Hao HQ, Zhang JF, He QQ,Wang Z. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein, C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type II collagen, and matrix metalloproteinase-3 as biomarkers for knee and hip osteoarthritis (OA) diagnosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27:726-36.
 14. Singh S, Shahi U, et al. Serum Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein: Tool for early diagnosis and grading of severity of primary knee osteoarthritis. *Int J Bone Rheumatology Res*. 2014; 11:1–7
 15. R. Altman, E. Asch, D. Bloch, G. Bole, D. Borenstein, et al.The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheum*.1996; 29:1039-49
 16. Singh S, Kumar D, Kumar S, Sharma N. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) and hyaluronic acid (HA):diagnostic biomarkers of knee osteoarthritis. *Mj orthopedics & Rheumatology*.2015;2(2):78-82
 17. Felson D, Zhang U.An update on the epidemiology of knee &hip osteoarthritiswith a view to prevention.*Arthritis & Rheumatism*.1998;41(8):1343-1355
 18. Grotle M, Hagen KB, Natvig B, Dahl FA, Kvien TK.Obesity and osteoarthritis in knee, hip and/or hand: An epidemiological study in the general population with 10 years follow-up."BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2008; 9:132
 19. Huaqing Z, ChanghongC.Body mass index and risk of knee osteoarthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies.*BMJ Open*. 2015 Dec 11;5(12): e007568.
 20. Russka S, Georgi K, and Simeon M.Obesity-Related Knee Osteoarthritis - Current Concepts. *Life*. 2023; 13: 1650.
 21. Verma P, Dalal K. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) in knee osteoarthritis: a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker.*JOrthopedicsResearch*. 2013;31(7):999-1006.
 22. Singh S, Shahi U, Kumar D, Shahi N.Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein:Tool for early diagnosis and grading of severity of primary knee osteoarthritis. *International Journal of Osteology and Orthopedics*.2014:1100-1106
 23. Gheita T, Awar A, Ansary M, Raslan H, Defrawy A. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) levels in serum and synovial fluid in osteoarthritis (OA) patients: Correlation with clinical, radiological and laboratory parameters. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.2015;23(2):85-87
 24. Xiaoyang Bi.Correlation of serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein with knee osteoarthritis diagnosis: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*.2018; 13:262
 25. KambayanaG,KurniariP,PutraT.Correlation between severity of knee osteoarthritis and serum levels of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein.*Indonesian journal of rheumatology*.2014; 5:22-26
 26. Arellano RD, Aguilar LS, Argüello R, Hernadez F, Gonzalez FF, Moran J. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein levels in synovial fluid in patients with primary knee osteoarthritis and healthy controls: A preliminary comparative analysis with serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein. *Arch Rheumatol*. 2017;32:189-96
 27. Zhang J. Meta-analysis of serum C-reactive protein and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein levels as biomarkers for clinical knee osteoarthritis. *BMC MusculoskeletDisord*. 2018;19:22
 28. Udamsinprasert W, Mookkhan N, Tabtimnark T, Aramruang T, UngsudechachaiT,Saengsiwaritt W et al. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein as a potential biomarker for knee osteoarthritis. *Bone Joint Resarch*. 2024;13(6):261-271.