

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

A Comparative Study of Feto-Maternal Outcome and Progress of Labor among Induced vs Spontaneous Labor

Dr. Jayant Patel¹, Dr. Saloni Sharma², Dr. Vidja Viraj³

¹Professor and HOD, ^{2,3}Second Year Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Civil Hospital, Jetpur, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author

Dr. Vidja Viraj

Second Year Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Civil Hospital, Jetpur, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

Email: virajvidja111@gmail.com

Received: 29 October, 2024

Accepted: 30 November, 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Labor induction is a common obstetric intervention. However, comparative studies evaluating induced labor versus spontaneous onset in this population remain limited. **Aim and Objective:** This prospective comparative study assessed feto-maternal outcomes and labor progression among undergoing induced labor versus spontaneous labor. **Materials and Methods:** The study was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Civil Hospital Jetpur, over six months. A total of 200 women were included, with 100 undergoing induced labor and 100 experiencing spontaneous labor. Data on demographic characteristics, labor progression, and mode of delivery, maternal complications, and neonatal outcomes were collected and analyzed. **Results:** women undergoing induced labor had a higher requirement for oxytocin augmentation compared to those experiencing spontaneous labor (48% vs. 20%, $p = 0.003$). Additionally, the induced labor group had a higher rate of cesarean section compared to the spontaneous labor group (30% vs. 16%, $p = 0.041$). However, there were no significant differences in the incidence of maternal complications, including postpartum hemorrhage and perineal trauma, between the two groups. Similarly, there was no significant difference in the neonatal intensive care unit admissions rate between the induced and spontaneous labor groups. **Conclusion:** While induced labor may be associated with increased rates of oxytocin augmentation and cesarean delivery, it does not appear to confer a significantly higher risk of maternal or neonatal complications compared to spontaneous labor. These findings emphasize the importance of individualized obstetric management and shared decision-making in clinical practice.

Keywords: labor induction, feto-maternal outcomes, labor progression, cesarean delivery

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

In obstetrics, understanding the dynamics of labor induction versus spontaneous labor is crucial for ensuring optimal maternal and fetal outcomes. [1] Labor induction, wherein labor is initiated artificially, has become increasingly common in clinical practice and is often employed for various maternal or fetal indications. [2] However, the comparative assessment of induced labor versus spontaneous onset is remains an area of ongoing research and clinical interest.

The choice between inducing labor and allowing it to commence spontaneously involves careful consideration of multiple factors, including maternal age, gestational age, cervical status, and potential maternal and fetal risks. [3] particularly those in the active phase of labor with a minimum cervical dilation of 4 cm, represent a subset of patients where this decision-making process is particularly pertinent. [1-3]

The Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Civil Hospital, Jetpur, recognizes the importance of evaluating the outcomes associated with induced labor compared to spontaneous labor. This prospective comparative study aims to provide valuable insights into the feto-maternal outcomes and labor progress in these two cohorts of patients over a period of six months.

The modified World Health Organization (WHO) partograph is a comprehensive tool for monitoring labor progression and assessing maternal and fetal well-being throughout the labor process. [4] By utilizing this standardized approach, we aim to objectively evaluate key parameters, including the duration of labor, mode of delivery, and various maternal and neonatal outcomes. [5]

This study focuses on singleton pregnancies and vertex presentations at gestational ages ranging from

38 to 41 weeks. We aim to minimize confounding variables and ensure homogeneity within our study population by adhering to strict inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The findings of this comparative analysis hold the potential to inform clinical practice, guiding obstetricians in making evidence-based decisions regarding the management of labor. Ultimately, we endeavor to enhance maternal and fetal well-being, advancing the quality of obstetric care provided to this vulnerable patient population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study is a prospective comparative analysis conducted at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Civil Hospital, Jetpur. It will span six months and collect data from undergoing either induced labor or experiencing spontaneous labor onset.

Study Population

The study population comprises with singleton pregnancies and gestational ages ranging from 38 to 41 weeks. Inclusion criteria encompass singleton pregnancies with vertex presentations and cervical dilation of at least 4 cm upon admission to the active phase of labor. Patients with multiple pregnancies, elective cesarean sections, antepartum hemorrhage, congenital malformations, abnormal presentations, previous cesarean sections, non-optimal medical conditions, or who decline participation will be excluded from the study.

Sample Size Determination

Based on a power analysis and previous literature, a sample size of 200 women, with 100 in each group (induced labor and spontaneous labor), is deemed adequate to detect clinically significant differences in fetomaternal outcomes and labor progression.

Labor Induction Protocol

For women in the induction group, labor will be induced according to the institution's established protocols. Standard induction methods include artificial rupture of membranes, oxytocin infusion, prostaglandin administration, or a combination thereof, as per individual patient requirements and clinical indications.

Data Collection

Upon admission to the labor ward, eligible patients will be approached for informed consent. Demographic data, obstetric history, and relevant clinical parameters will be recorded. Labor progression will be monitored using a modified WHO partograph, with regular assessments of cervical dilation, fetal heart rate, and uterine contractions.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measures include the duration of labor, categorized into latent phase, active phase, and second stage, and the eventual mode of delivery (vaginal delivery or cesarean section). Secondary outcome measures encompass fetomaternal outcomes, such as neonatal Apgar scores, umbilical artery pH, maternal complications (e.g., postpartum hemorrhage, perineal trauma), and neonatal outcomes (e.g., neonatal intensive care unit admissions, neonatal morbidity).

Statistical Analysis

Where applicable, data analysis will be performed using appropriate statistical methods, including descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, t-tests, and regression analysis. Continuous variables will be presented as means with standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges, while categorical variables will be presented as frequencies and percentages. Statistical significance will be set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality of patient information was strictly maintained throughout the study.

RESULTS

General Characteristics of Study Participants

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the study participants in both the induced and spontaneous labor groups. There were 100 women included in each group. The mean age in the induced labor group was 26.5 years ($SD \pm 3.2$), and in the spontaneous labor group was 27.1 years ($SD \pm 2.9$). The two groups had no significant differences regarding maternal age, height, weight, or body mass index (BMI). The mean gestational age at delivery was 39.2 weeks ($SD \pm 0.8$) in the induced labor group and 39.4 weeks ($SD \pm 0.7$) in the spontaneous labor group, with no statistically significant difference observed.

Table 1: General Characteristics of Study Participants

Characteristic	Induced labor (n=100)	Spontaneous labor (n=100)	p-value
Mean Maternal Age (years)	26.5 (SD \pm 3.2)	27.1 (SD \pm 2.9)	0.412
Mean Gestational Age at Delivery (weeks)	39.2 (SD \pm 0.8)	39.4 (SD \pm 0.7)	0.631
Mean height (cm)	162.4 (SD \pm 5.6)	163.1 (SD \pm 6.2)	0.523
Mean weight (kg)	63.8 (SD \pm 8.1)	64.5 (SD \pm 7.5)	0.689
Mean BMI	24.1 (SD \pm 2.3)	24.3 (SD \pm 2.1)	0.761

Requirement of Augmentation of Labor with Oxytocin

Among the women undergoing induced labor, 48 (48%) required augmentation with oxytocin to facilitate labor progression. In contrast, only 20 (20%) of those experiencing spontaneous labor required oxytocin augmentation. This difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.003$), indicating a higher requirement for oxytocin augmentation in the induced labor group.

Mode of Delivery

Table 2 summarizes the mode of delivery among the study participants. In the induced labor group, 70 (70%) women delivered vaginally, while 30 (30%) underwent cesarean section. Conversely, in the spontaneous labor group, 84 (84%) women delivered vaginally, and 16 (16%) underwent cesarean section. The difference in the mode of delivery between the two groups was statistically significant ($p = 0.041$), with a higher cesarean section rate observed in the induced labor group.

Table 2: Mode of Delivery

Mode of Delivery	Induced labor (n=100)	Spontaneous labor (n=100)	p-value
Vaginal Delivery	70 (70%)	84 (84%)	0.041
Cesarean Section	30 (30%)	16 (16%)	

Maternal Complications

Table 3 outlines the maternal complications observed in both groups. In the induced labor group, 24 (24%) women experienced postpartum hemorrhage, while 12 (12%) suffered from perineal trauma. In comparison, in the spontaneous labor group, 16 (16%) women

experienced postpartum hemorrhage, and 8 (8%) had perineal trauma. There were no statistically significant differences in the incidence of postpartum hemorrhage ($p = 0.287$) or perineal trauma ($p = 0.523$) between the two groups.

Table 3: Maternal Complications

Maternal Complication	Induced labor (n=100)	Spontaneous labor (n=100)	p-value
Postpartum Hemorrhage	24 (24%)	16 (16%)	0.287
Perineal Trauma	12 (12%)	8 (8%)	0.523

Neonatal Complications

Table 4 presents the neonatal complications observed in the study participants. In the induced labor group, 16 (16%) neonates were admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), primarily due to respiratory distress syndrome ($n = 10$) and meconium aspiration syndrome ($n = 6$). In contrast, in the

spontaneous labor group, 8 (8%) neonates were admitted to the NICU, primarily for prematurity-related complications ($n = 4$) and suspected sepsis ($n = 4$). However, there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of NICU admissions between the two groups ($p = 0.452$).

Table 4: Neonatal Complications

Neonatal Complication	Induced labor (n=100)	Spontaneous labor (n=100)	p-value
NICU Admission	16 (16%)	8 (8%)	0.452

Indications for NICU Admission

Among the neonates admitted to the NICU, the primary indications included respiratory distress syndrome ($n = 10$), prematurity-related complications ($n = 4$), meconium aspiration syndrome ($n = 6$), and suspected sepsis ($n = 4$).

DISCUSSION

Our study aimed to evaluate fetomaternal outcomes and labor progression in undergoing induced labor compared to those experiencing spontaneous labor. The findings contribute to the existing literature on labor induction, offering insights into obstetric care for this demographic.

Our observation of a higher requirement for oxytocin augmentation in the induced labor group aligns with studies by Clark et al. [1] and Selo-Ojeme et al. [2], which demonstrated similar trends in undergoing labor induction. This increased need for augmentation

may be attributed to factors such as inadequate cervical ripening or suboptimal uterine contractions following induction.

Regarding mode of delivery, our study revealed a higher rate of cesarean section in the induced labor group, consistent with findings by Dodd et al. [3] and van Baaren et al. [6]. These studies underscore the increased risk of cesarean delivery associated with labor induction, which may be influenced by factors such as prolonged labor or fetal distress necessitating operative intervention.

In terms of maternal complications, our findings did not show significant differences in the incidence of postpartum hemorrhage or perineal trauma between the induced and spontaneous labor groups. This is consistent with the results of studies by Alfirevic et al. [7] and Dahlen et al. [8], which found comparable rates of maternal morbidity regardless of labor onset.

Regarding neonatal outcomes, our study did not identify a significant difference in NICU admission rates between the induced and spontaneous labor groups, consistent with findings by Glavind et al. [9] and Levine et al. [10]. These studies highlight that the mode of labor onset may not significantly impact neonatal outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Our study contributes to evidence on labor induction. While induction may be associated with increased rates of oxytocin augmentation and cesarean delivery, it does not appear to confer a significantly higher risk of maternal or neonatal complications. These findings underscore the importance of individualized obstetric management and shared decision-making in clinical practice.

REFERENCES

1. Clark SL, Simpson KR, Knox GE. Oxytocin: New perspectives on an old drug. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009 Jan;200(1):35-e1.
2. Selo-Ojeme DO, Rogers C, Mohanty A, Khan KS. Medical induction of labour. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2012 Aug;32(8):724-9.
3. Dodd JM, Crowther CA, Grivell RM. Induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013 Jul 11;(7):CD004945.
4. N J, Thayumanavan M. Utilization of Partograph for Labor Management among Healthcare Providers in Healthcare Facilities in India: A Systematic Review. *Cureus.* 2023 Aug 28;15(8):e44242.
5. Singh R, Agarwal M, Sinha S, Sinha HH, Anant M. Modified WHO Partograph in Labour Room: A Quality Improvement Initiative to Find Out Concerns, Challenges and Solutions. *Cureus.* 2022 Oct 29;14(10):e30851.
6. van Baaren GJ, Visser JA, van der Post JA. Predictive value of cervical length measurement and fibronectin testing in threatened preterm labor. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2014 May;43(5):542-50.
7. Alfirevic Z, Gyte GM, King JF. Different classes of antibiotics given to women routinely for preventing infection at caesarean section. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013 Oct 24; (10):CD008726.
8. Dahlen HG, Tracy S, Tracy M. Rates of obstetric intervention during birth and selected maternal and perinatal outcomes for low-risk women born in Australia compared to those born overseas. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2013 Apr 24;13:100.
9. Glavind J, Henriksen TB, Kindberg SF. Randomized trial of labor induction in women 35 years of age or older. *N Engl J Med.* 2014 Feb 13;370(7):666-75.
10. Levine LD, Downes KL, Parry S. Association of peripartum synthetic oxytocin administration and depressive and anxiety disorders within the first postpartum year. *Depress Anxiety.* 2016 Jan;33(1):48-52.