Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Safety, Efficacy and Compliance of patient with Loop Stoma Bridge

Sunita Prakash¹, Arun Kumar S L², Sunil Subhash Joshi³

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Chickballapur Institute of Medical Sciences, Chickballapur, India

³Professor and HOD Surgery, Chikkaballapura Institute of Medical sciences, Chikkaballapura, India

Corresponding author

Dr. Sunita Prakash Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Chickballapur Institute of Medical Sciences, Chickballapur, India

Email: drsunitaprakash@gmail.com

Received Date: 25 September, 2024 Accepted Date: 27 October, 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Intestinal stomas, although not unique to colon and rectal surgery, are among its most important achievements. **Objectives:** To know the efficacy, safety and compliance of the new technique of loop Stoma Bridge. **Methods:** This Longitudinal study with retrospective component was conducted among Patients undergoing loop Diversion-loop Ileostomy and loop colostomy in M.S. Ramaiah Hospital. from April 2005 to April 2012. Datarequired for the study was obtained from medical records retrospectively from April 2005 to July 2010 and subsequently prospectively data till April 2012, using pre-tested semi structured questionnaire. An ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical committee. **Results:** Emergency and elective procedures were done in 23 and 22 patients respectively. Complications like pain in 14 patients were noticed and in 4 patients serous discharge was seen. None hadpain for more than 10 days.

Conclusion: It is a safe, cheap method and can be performed easily with low morbidity. The colostomy flange can be applied immediately without faecal leakage.

Keywords: Bridge, loop colostomy, loop Ileostomy.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

The first surgical stoma was created just over 200 years ago, and currently there are approximately one million individuals living in the United States with a functioning enterostomy. An entire industry has developed to create products for these individuals and their special needs. Colorectal surgeons have pioneered new surgical techniques and created ostomy management systems that have allowed the intestinal stoma to become a barelynoticeable alternative to per anal defecation. In recent years as there is early detection of colorectal tumors, diverticulitis, inflammatory bowel diseases and trauma and with advance in availability of stoma care products, there is rapid growth of enterostomal therapy. Modern stapling equipments have increased the frequency of loop stomas and decrease in the end stomas [1]. Despite the advances proper stoma construction and management remains vital [2]. Conventionally supporting rods made of glass, plastic or similar material have been used for seven to ten days for loop stoma to prevent retraction. However this is associated with difficulty in applying the stomal appliance till the removal of the rod resulting, in leakage of faecal matter. The compliance of the patient plays a prime role in acceptance of the procedure. The new technique of loop stoma bridge enables immediate application of colostomy flange. Hence this study was undertaken to know the efficacy, safety and compliance of the new technique of *loop stoma bridge*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This Longitudinal study with retrospective component was conducted among Patients undergoing loop Diversion-loop Ileostomy and loop colostomy in M.S. Ramaiah Hospital.from April 2005 to April 2012. Datarequired for the study was obtained from medical records retrospectively from April 2005 to July 2010 and subsequently prospectively data till April 2012, using pre- tested semi structured questionnaire. An ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical committee.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients undergoing loop diversion- loop Ileostomy and loop colostomy in M.S.

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.121

Ramaiah Hospital.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Loop colostomy converted to end colostomy.
- 2. Previous surgical scar.

Sample Size estimation

From the previous record analysis at our hospital 33 cases were present during 2000 to 2007. Assuming 20% attrition 26 cases retrospectively and 20 cases prospectively shall be included for the study. 45 cases was the included as study population (n=45).

April Longitudinal study July April 2005 Retrospective 2010 Prospective 2012

Methods/Technique

The basic principle is that the function of the bridge should not be compromised but at the same time, it should not interfere with fixing of the stomal appliance. A tube used for closed suction drain like RomovacTM (Romsons, India) 16 or 18 F is used . This tube has adequate stiffness, is porous at one end, and is connected to the trocar at the other. Note that about 30 cm or more of the tube does not have pores. The flange used for the stoma is available indifferent brands and sizes resulting in variations in diameter. As the incision is generally 4 to 5 cm, a 60-mm ColoplastTM (Coloplast A/S, Denmark) flange is preferred and measures 11 cm in diameter. A suitable site is marked for the ostomy. Under appropriate anesthesia, a transverse incision, of 4 to 5 cm, is made deepening it up to the fascia. The fascia is incised, and the bowel is delivered in a standard fashion [3, 4]. A small rent is created between the marginal artery and the mesenteric border of the colon in an avascular area.

At this stage or immediately after skin incision, the entry and exit points of the bridge are marked. Appropriate points of entry and exit should be at least 1 to 2 cm more than the radius of the flange. In this case, it would be 6.5 to 7.5 cm from the incision line. This margin of 1 to 2 cm is required for the application of adhesive plaster over the flange. Entry

and exit points at distances lesser than this would prevent the proper application of the flange, whereas greater distances would mean more chances for stomal sagging and retraction. With the help of the trocar, the skin is punctured about 7 cm from the main wound, tunneled in the subcutaneous space immediately below the dermis, and brought out through the main wound, dragging the suction tube along with it. A medium-sized artery forceps is used to bring the tube through the mesocolic rent. The tube is reconnected to trocar and tunneled in the subcutaneous space above with a skin exit at a similar 7 cm from the wound edge. The rest of the procedure involving closure of fascia and fixing of the colon to the fascia is completed [1, 2, 5]. After ensuring that no porous part of the tubing is used for the bridge, the tube is held taut by pulling both ends and anchoring to the skin. The stoma is matured in the standard method and the flange is applied. The adhesive plaster, bag, and clip are then placed appropriately. The entry and exit points of the tubing may be smeared with a little povidone iodine ointment and left open. The bridge is removed between 7 and 10 days without disturbing the flange.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics comprising of means percentages and proportions was used to describe the data. Chi- square and Fisher Exact tests of proportion were used to compare the statistical significance of differences in complications between the various parameters such as age, gender, complications and indication for surgery. Level of significance was fixed at 95% (error 5%).

RESULTS

Mean age was 45 years with a range from 14 -65 years. Average days of stay in hospital noticed were 20.24 days. Mean day of removal of bridge noted was 11.31 days. Mean follow up was 10.44 months. Out of 45 patients studied, 48.9% were above 55yrs of age (table 1).

Table 1: Study characteristics

Characteristics	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation
Age in years	14	65	45.18	14.790
Number of days of hospital stay	14	32	20.24	4.844
Day of removal of Bridge	9	15	11.31	1.411
Follow up (months)	4	20	10.44	3.609

Out of 45 patients, 37 patients underwent colostomy maximum were elective cases. In the Ileostomy group maximum were emergency cases. Out of 45 patients studied, the bridge was removed after 10 days in 26 patients and within 10 days in 19 patients

Of the 45 patients studied, 23 were emergency cases in which 76.2% were less than 45 years of age. 22

patients underwent elective surgery, of which 12 were carcinoma rectum cases. In the 23 patients who underwent emergency surgery maximum patients had perineal injury followed by cases with viscus perforation. Excepting 1 patient, all patients were discharged before 30 days.

Table 2: Indication for surgery

Age in years	Indication f	Total	
	Emergency	Elective	
<45	16(76.2%)	5(23.8%)	21
>45	7(29.2%)	17(70.8%)	24
Total	23	22	45

 $\lambda 2 = 9.91$. P = 0.002. Highly significant.

Out of 37 patients of colostomy only 29.7% had complications but 62.5% of Ileostomy patients had complications.

Table 3: Occurrence of complications based on type of diversion stoma

Type of Loop Diversion	Comp	Total	
	Present	Absent	
Colostomy	11(29.7%)	26(70.3%)	37
Ileostomy	3(37.5%)	5(62.5%)	8
Total	14	31	45

Fisher's Exact Test .P= 0.689. Not significant

Out of 45 patients, delayed removal of flange was associated with complications. Out of 45 patients, maximum patients stayed in the hospital between 16-20 days. Discharge was found only in 10.8% of colostomy patients, none among ileostomy patients.

DISCUSSION

A well-constructed loop ileostomy or loop colostomy provides near complete fecal diversion. Diversion colostomies are performed for decompression or for temporary diversion for the protection of a complicated distal anastomosis. Despite various new techniques like loop-end colostomy [6], the conventional loop ostomies still need to be performed for various reasons. A bridge is used for all the loop ostomies. A view is that loop ileostomies do not require a bridge [7], whereas loop colostomies do. However, some loop ileostomies might still require a bridge. The bridge prevents retraction or sinking of the loop into the wound and elevated bridge provides the desired diversion of fecal matter. A glass or plastic rod placed underneath the loop between the marginal artery and the mesenteric border of the colon resting on the skin raising the posterior wall of colon to above the skin level is the standard technique described; [3, 4] but, with this technique of the rod placement, stoma care device application would be difficult during the initial week or till such time the bridge is removed. This resulted in the exploration of alternative techniques. Many types of bridges described. Skin level bridge, which includes the standard glass or plastic rod or deep tension suture suggested as a cheap and reliable alternative to a proprietary bridge. .Alternatively, a loop of nasogastric or rubber tube is passed under the loop of colon, and the shortened ends are joined together above the bowel with a single nylon suture [8]. This technique can cause sinking of the loop [9].Other techniques used are sutures themselves as bridge but they can have bowstring effect [10].

The second category of bridge are subcutaneously placed which may be absorbable [11] and nonabsorbable bridges. A rectus fascial sling was used as bridge[12]. Subcutaneous bridge does not raise the

mesenteric wall of the colon to skin level or beyond. This could potentially result in the lack of total diversion of intestinal contents resulting in the failure of one of the main purposes of the ostomy. Intestinal contents and flatus would move preferentially toward the low pressure side of any gradient that is into the appliance which is at atmospheric pressure than, into the distal loop [3]. In the New technique described, the bridge tube is placed in the subcutaneous tissue right underneath the dermis. Hence, it would not matter whether the individual is obese or thin. On the other hand, when a rectus sheath sling is used [12], it would matter if the individual is obese. The tube is inserted and stitched on either side before maturation of the stoma. In addition, the colostomy flange and the colostomy bag are applied immediately; the chances of infection or contamination of the tube tract are minimal. The bridge is nontoxic, soft, and gentle on the bowel, whereas at the same time stiff enough to prevent retraction and sinking of the loop. It is cheap and easily available and supplied in sterile packs. The technique does not require great skill, can be performed by junior surgical resident unlike the rectus sling bridge.

Online ISSN: 2250-3137 Print ISSN: 2977-0122

In this study 45 patients underwent surgery using the new technique of loop stoma bridge were aged between 14-65 yrs mean age of 45yrs. Colostomy flange was applied immediately; thus preventing the faecal leakage which is distressing to the new stoma patient [13]. Out of 45 patients 23 underwent emergency procedure and were <45 yrs of age, in the 22 patients who underwent elective surgery most were >45yrs. Complications like pain, discharge, dermatitis, eczema were noticed. Pain was noticed in 15 patients among whom it was less than 3 on VAS score and in 3 of them pain was noticed up to maximum of 10 days VAS score <2. Serous discharge was noticed in 4 patients which decreased after

DOI: 10.69605/ijlbpr_13.11.2024.121

keeping the area dry. Day of flange removal was between 9-15th day average being 11thday. Days of hospital stay ranged between 14-32 days mean of 21 days. Eczema, dermatitis was noticed near the stoma site and not near entry or exit point of the bridge.

CONCLUSION

The new technique of loop stoop bridge has low morbidity without any mortality. Morbidity is seen in the form of pain and serous discharge only. It is a cheap method wherein a suction tube is used. The procedure is safe without any injury or infection, with low morbidity and no mortality. It helps in the immediate placement of the colostomy appliance resulting in no faecal leakage. The acceptance of the colostomy is better by the patients because there is no faecal leak.

REFERENCES

- Abcarian H, Pearl RK, Stomas. SurgclinNorth Am 1988:68:1295-1305.
- Lavery I C technique of colostomy construction and closure. In: Fischer JE, Bland KI, editors Mastery of Surgery. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2007. p.1439-1448
- 3. Kodner IJ, Read TE. Intestinal stomas. In: Zinner MJ,

- Ashley SW,editors. Maingot's Abdominal Operations. 11st ed. United States of America: The McGraw Hill Companies; p. 141–177. 86 1.
- Lavery IC. Technique of colostomy construction and closure. In: Fischer JE, Bland KI, editors. Mastery of Surgery. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2007. p. 1439–1448.
- Dinnick.T. The origins and evolution of colostomy Br j surg 1934 22: 142-153
- Abcarian H, Pearl RK. Stomas.SurgClin North Am1988;68:1295–1305.
- peirs M, Leung E, Hughes D, Robertson I, Donnelly L,Mackenzie I, Macdonald A. Ileostomy rod—is it a bridge toofar? Colorectal Dis 2006;8:484–487.
- Richardson NG, Leicester RJ. A better bridge for loop stomas.BrJSurg 1996;83:1011.
- Sudhindran S, Regunath KJ. A better bridge for loop stomas. Br JSurg 1996;83:1797.
- Habib S, Williams J. A better bridge for loop stomas. Br J Surg1996;83:1161.
- 11. K. Harish, The loop stoma bridge- the new technique. J gastrointest surgery (2008) 12:958-96187
- 12. Atkin G, Scott MA, Mathur P, Mitchell IC. The rectus sling to prevent loop colostomy retraction: a case series. Int Semin Surg Oncol 2005;2:22.
- Milner CS, Sutton C, Hemingway D. The skin bridge loopcolostomy. Tech Coloproctology 2006;10:137– 138.