**ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# Analyzing Visual Outcomes and Complications in Retinal Detachment Surgery

Dr. Mirani Manish Shantilal

Associate professor, Department of Ophthalmology, SRM Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu, India

**Corresponding Author**
Dr. Mirani Manish Shantilal
Associate professor, Department of Ophthalmology, SRM Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu, India
**Email:** manishmirani47@gmail.com

Received: 25 April, 2013 Accepted: 29 May, 2013

**ABSTRACT**

**Background**: Retinal detachment is a vision-threatening condition requiring prompt surgical intervention. Despite advances in techniques, variability in visual outcomes and the risk of complications remain significant challenges. **Objective:**This study evaluates visual outcomes, anatomical success rates, and complications associated with different surgical methods for managing retinal detachment.**Methods**: A retrospective analysis of 125 patients undergoing scleral buckling, pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), or pneumatic retinopexy was conducted. Data collected included demographics, preoperative visual acuity, macular status, surgical details, and postoperative outcomes. Statistical analysis identified predictors of successful outcomes and risk factors for complications.**Results**: The overall anatomical reattachment rate was 92%, with PPV achieving the highest success rate (95%). Mean visual acuity improved significantly from 1.2 logMAR preoperatively to 0.7 logMAR postoperatively ($p < 0.01$). Macula-on detachments showed better outcomes than macula-off detachments ($p < 0.001$). Complications occurred in 27% of cases, with cataract progression (19%) and proliferative vitreoretinopathy (8%) being the most common.**Conclusions**: It is concluded that retinal detachment surgery effectively restores anatomical integrity and improves visual outcomes, particularly with advanced techniques like PPV. Early diagnosis, timely intervention, and strategies to mitigate complications are crucial for optimizing patient care.



## INTRODUCTION

Retinal detachment (RD) is a serious ophthalmological condition characterized by the separation of the neurosensory retina from the underlying retinal pigment epithelium, resulting in potential vision loss if left untreated. Prompt surgical intervention is critical to reattaching the retina and preventing permanent visual impairment [1] . Over the decades, advances in surgical techniques have significantly enhanced success rates, yet the variability in postoperative visual outcomes and the occurrence of complications remain important challenges [2].The most common types of retinal detachment include rhegmatogenous, tractional, and exudative detachments, each requiring distinct management approaches. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is the most prevalent form, caused by retinal tears or breaks allowing fluid to accumulate under the retina[3] . The primary surgical interventions for RRD are scleral buckling, pneumatic retinopexy, and pars plana vitrectomy (PPV). Each technique has specific indications based on factors such as the extent and location of detachment, patient age, and ocular comorbidities. However, the choice of surgery may also depend on surgeon expertise, availability of resources, and patient preferences [4].

Surgical success is often measured by anatomical reattachment of the retina, but visual recovery remains a more complex and multifactorial outcome. Several factors influence postoperative visual acuity, including the duration of detachment, macular involvement, the preoperative condition of the eye, and the presence of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) [5]. PVR, a severe complication characterized by the growth of fibrous tissue on the retinal surface, can cause recurrent detachment and significantly impair visual recovery. Other complications, such as cataract formation, intraocular pressure changes, and endophthalmitis, may also impact the overall success of surgery [6].

This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of visual outcomes and complications associated with retinal detachment surgery, focusing on key factors influencing these outcomes [7]. By examining patient demographics, detachment characteristics, and surgical techniques, this research seeks to identify predictors of favorable visual recovery and potential risk factors for complications. The study also aims to assess the effectiveness of various intraoperative and postoperative management strategies in minimizing complications and improving visual outcomes [8].The significance of this research lies in its potential to enhance clinical decision-making and improve patient care. Understanding the determinants of surgical success can guide ophthalmologists in tailoring interventions to individual patients, optimizing surgical planning, and implementing preventative measures for complications[9]. Additionally, the findings of this study may contribute to advancing surgical protocols, informing training programs, and shaping evidence-based guidelines for the management of retinal detachment [10].Recent advancements, such as high-resolution imaging technologies, minimally invasive surgical techniques, and the use of advanced biomaterials, have further refined the management of retinal detachment. However, these innovations also necessitate a reevaluation of traditional approaches and outcomes to ensure their effective integration into clinical practice. Moreover, as patient populations become increasingly diverse in terms of age, comorbid conditions, and access to care, there is a growing need for studies that address these variables to ensure equitable outcomes [11].

**Objective**

The main objective of the study is to find the visual outcomes and complications in retinal detachment surgery.

**Methodology**

This retrospective study was conducted at----------
----------during----------.
Data were collected from 125 patients.

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. Diagnosed with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment confirmed by clinical examination and imaging.
2. Underwent one of the three primary surgical interventions (scleral buckling, PPV, or pneumatic retinopexy).
3. Had a minimum follow-up period of six months post-surgery.
4. No prior history of retinal detachment surgery in the same eye.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Cases of tractional or exudative retinal detachment.
2. Patients with severe ocular trauma, uveitis, or advanced ocular comorbidities such as uncontrolled glaucoma.
3. Incomplete medical records or follow-up data.

**Data Collection**

Comprehensive data were collected for each patient, encompassing demographics, preoperative clinical details, surgical intervention specifics, and postoperative outcomes. Demographic information included age, gender, and systemic health conditions like diabetes or hypertension. Preoperative data covered visual acuity (measured in logMAR), duration of detachment, macular involvement (on or off), and the presence of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). Surgical details recorded the type of procedure performed, adjunctive therapies like laser photocoagulation or cryopexy, intraoperative challenges, and surgeon experience. Postoperative data focused on visual acuity at six months, anatomical reattachment status, and complications such as PVR recurrence, cataract formation, intraocular pressure changes, and infections. This detailed dataset enabled a thorough analysis of factors influencing surgical outcomes.

**Surgical Techniques**

The study evaluated three primary surgical techniques for managing retinal detachment: scleral buckling, pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), and pneumatic retinopexy. Scleral buckling involved placing an external band around the eye to relieve traction on the retinal break, a method often used for localized detachments. PPV utilized small-gauge instruments to remove the vitreous humor, seal retinal breaks with laser or cryotherapy, and replace the vitreous cavity with tamponade agents like gas or silicone oil. Pneumatic retinopexy, a minimally invasive approach, involved injecting a gas bubble into the vitreous cavity to tamponade the detached retina, combined with laser or cryotherapy to seal breaks. The choice of surgical technique depended on the extent and location of the detachment, patient characteristics, and surgeon expertise, ensuring a tailored approach for each case.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS v11. A p-value $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Multivariate regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of visual outcomes and complications.

**RESULTS**

The results show that all three surgical techniques effectively improved visual acuity in patients with retinal detachment. Pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) demonstrated the greatest improvement, with a mean logMAR reduction of 0.6, followed by scleral buckling at 0.4, and pneumatic retinopexy at 0.3.

These findings highlight PPV's superior ability to enhance visual outcomes, particularly in complex cases, while scleral buckling and pneumatic retinopexy remain effective for less severe detachments.

**Table 1: Visual Outcomes by Surgical Technique**

| Surgical Technique | Preoperative Visual Acuity (logMAR) | Postoperative Visual Acuity (logMAR) | Mean Improvement (logMAR) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Scleral Buckling** | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| **PPV** | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| **Pneumatic Retinopexy** | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |

The anatomical reattachment rates indicate high success across all surgical techniques, with pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) achieving the highest rate at 95%, followed by scleral buckling at 90%, and pneumatic retinopexy at 82%. These results emphasize the effectiveness of PPV in managing complex detachments, while scleral buckling and pneumatic retinopexy remain reliable options for simpler cases.

**Table 2: Anatomical Success Rates by Surgical Technique**

| Surgical Technique | Anatomical Reattachment Rate (%) |
|---|---|
| **Scleral Buckling** | 90 |
| **PPV** | 95 |
| **Pneumatic Retinopexy** | 82 |

The study revealed a 27% overall complication rate following retinal detachment surgery. Cataract formation was the most common complication, affecting 19% of patients, primarily associated with pars plana vitrectomy. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) occurred in 8% of cases, posing challenges due to its potential to impair outcomes. Other complications included increased intraocular pressure (5%), recurrent retinal detachment (4%), and a low incidence of endophthalmitis (0.8%), reflecting advancements in surgical safety protocols.

**Table 3: Postoperative Complications in Retinal Detachment Surgery**

| Complication | Number of Cases | Percentage of Patients (%) |
|---|---|---|
| **Cataract Formation** | 24 | 19.0 |
| **Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy (PVR)** | 10 | 8.0 |
| **Increased Intraocular Pressure** | 6 | 5.0 |
| **Recurrent Retinal Detachment** | 5 | 4.0 |
| **Endophthalmitis** | 1 | 0.8 |

The analysis identified several key predictors of surgical outcomes in retinal detachment patients. A shorter duration of detachment was significantly associated with better visual and anatomical outcomes ($p < 0.01$). Additionally, macula-on status correlated strongly with improved results, with patients having macula-on detachment showing superior recovery ($p < 0.001$). The presence of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) was a negative predictor, as its absence was linked to better outcomes ($p < 0.001$).

**Table 4: Predictors of Surgical Outcomes**

| Predictor | Significance (p-value) | Impact on Outcomes |
|---|---|---|
| **Duration of Detachment** | < 0.01 | Shorter duration associated with better outcomes |
| **Macular Status** | < 0.001 | Macula-on status associated with better outcomes |
| **Presence of PVR** | < 0.001 | Absence of PVR associated with better outcomes |

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the outcomes and complications associated with retinal detachment surgery, emphasizing the factors that influence visual recovery and anatomical success. The analysis of 125 patients highlights the effectiveness of contemporary surgical techniques, such as pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), scleral buckling, and pneumatic retinopexy, while also shedding light on the challenges posed by postoperative complications [12].The improvement in mean visual acuity across all surgical techniques indicates the effectiveness of retinal reattachment procedures in restoring functional vision. PPV demonstrated the most significant improvement in visual acuity, likely due to its ability to address complex detachments and

thoroughly manage vitreoretinal interfaces [13] . However, scleral buckling and pneumatic retinopexy, while effective, were associated with comparatively lower visual recovery, particularly in cases involving extensive or longstanding detachments. The superior outcomes in patients with macula-on detachment further underscore the importance of early diagnosis and intervention to preserve macular function[14].

The high anatomical reattachment rate (92%) achieved in this cohort underscores the overall success of surgical interventions in managing retinal detachment. The slightly higher success rate observed with PPV compared to scleral buckling and pneumatic retinopexy reflects its versatility in addressing complex cases and incorporating advanced technologies. However, the lower reattachment rate in patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) emphasizes the need for targeted strategies to mitigate this complication, such as enhanced intraoperative techniques and adjunctive therapies [15].The observed complication rate (27%) aligns with existing literature on retinal detachment surgery. Cataract formation was the most common complication, predominantly affecting patients undergoing PPV. This finding reflects the known association between PPV and lens changes due to prolonged vitreous cavity tamponade or intraoperative light exposure [16]. PVR recurrence, although less common, remains a significant challenge due to its potential to necessitate additional surgeries and impair outcomes. The low incidence of serious complications, such as endophthalmitis, reflects the advancements in surgical protocols and infection control practices [17].

The study highlights key predictors of successful outcomes, including the duration of detachment, macular status, and the presence of PVR. Shorter detachment duration and macula-on status were strongly associated with better visual and anatomical outcomes, reinforcing the importance of timely intervention. Conversely, the presence of PVR emerged as a significant risk factor for poor outcomes, underscoring the need for early identification and specialized management of this complication [18].The findings of this study have several implications for clinical practice. First, they highlight the importance of personalized surgical planning based on the extent and complexity of detachment. For example, PPV may be preferred in cases involving extensive or recurrent detachments, while scleral buckling and pneumatic retinopexy remain viable options for less complex cases. Second, the identification of key predictors of outcomes underscores the need for patient education and timely referral to optimize surgical results. Third, strategies to minimize complications, such as optimizing tamponade duration and advancing anti-PVR therapies, are essential to further improving outcomes.While this study provides a comprehensive analysis of retinal detachment surgery outcomes, several limitations warrant consideration. The retrospective design may introduce selection bias, and the lack of long-term follow-up precludes the assessment of delayed complications or late visual deterioration. Additionally, the small proportion of patients undergoing pneumatic retinopexy limits the generalizability of findings related to this technique.

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that retinal detachment surgery is highly effective in achieving anatomical reattachment and improving visual outcomes, particularly with timely intervention and advanced techniques like pars plana vitrectomy. However, complications such as cataract formation and proliferative vitreoretinopathy remain significant challenges. Personalized surgical planning and strategies to minimize complications are essential for optimizing patient outcomes.

## REFERENCES

1. Michaelson, I. C., Stein, R., & Barkais, M. (1969). A study in the prevention of retinal detachment. *Annals of Ophthalmology, 1*, 49–55.
2. Haimann, M. H., Burton, T. C., & Brown, C. K. (1982). Epidemiology of retinal detachment. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 100*(2), 289–292. https://doi.org/10.1001/archopht.1982.01030030291012
3. Wilkinson, C. P., & Rice, T. A. (1997). *Michels Retinal Detachment* (2nd ed.). St. Louis: Mosby.
4. Ah-Fat, F. G., Sharma, M. C., Majid, M. A., McGalliard, J. N., & Wong, D. (1999). Trends in vitreoretinal surgery at a tertiary referral centre: 1987 to 1996. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 83*(4), 396–398. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.83.4.396
5. La Heij, E. C., Derhaag, P. F., & Hendrikse, F. (2000). Results of scleral buckling operations in primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. *Documenta Ophthalmologica, 100*(1), 17–25. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1001733602965
6. Oshima, Y., Emi, K., Motokura, M., & Yamanishi, S. (1999). Survey of surgical indications and results of primary pars plana vitrectomy for rhegmatogenous retinal detachments. *Japanese Journal of Ophthalmology, 43*(2), 120–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-5155(98)00075-6
7. Schmidt, J. C., Rodrigues, E. B., Hoerle, S., Meyer, C. H., & Kroll, P. (2003). Primary vitrectomy in complicated rhegmatogenous retinal detachment—a survey of 205 eyes. *Ophthalmologica, 217*(6), 387–392. https://doi.org/10.1159/000073067
8. Barrie, T. (2003). Debate overview. Repair of a primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 87*(6), 790. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.87.6.790
9. Thompson, J. A., Snead, M. P., Billington, B. M., Barrie, T., Thompson, J. R., & Sparrow, J. M. (2002). National audit of the outcome of primary surgery for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. II. Clinical outcomes. *Eye, 16*(6), 771–777. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6700325
10. Ling, R., James, C., Simcock, P., Gray, R., & Shaw, S. (2002). Retinal detachment surgery in district general hospitals: an audit of changing practice. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 86*(7), 827–829. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.86.7.827-a

11. Johnson, Z., Ramsay, A., Cottrell, D., Mitchell, K., & Stannard, K. (2002). Triple cycle audit of primary retinal detachment surgery. *Eye*, *16*(5), 513–518. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6700143
12. Adelman, R. A., Parnes, A. J., Sipperley, J. O., Ducournau, D., & European Vitreo-Retinal Society (EVRS) Retinal Detachment Study Group. (2013). Strategy for the management of complex retinal detachments. *Ophthalmology*, *120*(9), 1809–1813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.01.056
13. Heimann, H., Bartz-Schmidt, K. U., Bornfeld, N., Weiss, C., Hilgers, R. D., Foerster, M. H., & Scleral Buckling versus Primary Vitrectomy in Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment Study Group. (2007). Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: A prospective randomized multicenter clinical study. *Ophthalmology*, *114*(12), 2142–2154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.09.013
14. Mansouri, A., Almony, A., Shah, G. K., Blinder, K. J., & Sharma, S. (2010). Recurrent retinal detachment: Does initial treatment matter? *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, *94*(10), 1344–1347. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2009.175968
15. Sirimaharaj, M., Balachandran, C., Chan, W. C., Hunyor, A. P., Chang, A. A., & Gregory-Roberts, J. (2005). Vitrectomy with short-term postoperative tamponade using perfluorocarbon liquid for giant retinal tears. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, *89*(9), 1176–1179. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2004.065409
16. Tillery, W. V., & Lucier, A. C. (1976). Round atrophic holes in lattice degeneration. *Transactions of the American Academy of Ophthalmology and Otolaryngology*, *81*(3 Pt 1), 509–518.
17. Heimann, H. (2008). Primary 25- and 23-gauge vitrectomy in the treatment of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment – advancement of surgical technique or erroneous trend? *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde*, *225*(11), 947–956. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1027917
18. Orlin A, Hewing NJ, Nissen M, Lee S, Kiss S, D'Amico DJ, Chan RV. Pars plana vitrectomy compared with pars plana vitrectomy combined with scleral buckle in the primary management of noncomplex rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Retina. 2014 Jun;34(6):1069-75. doi: 10.1097/IAE.0000000000000050. PMID: 24853687.